TOWN BOARD SPECIAL MEETING MEDICAL ORIENTED DISTRICT

Town Hall

1 Heady Street

Cortlandt Manor, NY, 10567

May 2, 2022

7:00 p.m. - 9:25 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Richard H. Becker, Supervisor

James F. Creighton, Member

Francis X. Farrell, Member

Cristin Jacoby, Member

Robert E. Mayes

ALSO PRESENT:

Tom Wood, Town Attorney
Michelle Robbins, Planner
Laroue Shatzkin, Town Clerk

(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)

DR. RICH BECKER: Thank you for coming

out tonight. I'm supervisor Dr. Rich Becker. We do have some seats up front, because it's probably going to be a long meeting and I hate to have people standing for too long. We also have arranged seating out in the hallway with a television and I believe also in conference room one down the hall. So if anyone wants to sit and not have to stand for a long time, please make yourself comfortable.

I want to start and just talk a little bit about what tonight's about and why we're here and what to expect. This is a Mod FEIS, Final Environmental Impact Statement public hearing concerning MOD, which is the Medical Oriented District surrounding the hospital. I think you're all familiar with that.

Believe it or not, this has been going on for seven years. It's been 2016 when the master plan was first adopted that called for the development of a MOD, that the town actually won an award for. And since then, we've had four

2.3

public hearings and two public workshops. So this is a slow process and it continues.

And it started out with the initial proposal in which the owners of the properties involved submitted some initial proposals and then we moved on to a DEIS, the first step, which is a Draft Environmental Impact Statement, which kind of was the proposals from the developers, this is what we can do, what do you think? A lot of comments went on for many, many, many months.

And in response to all the public comments under SEQRA, SEQRA is the State Environmental Quality Review Act that dictates how all these procedures have to go to be fair to the public, to be fair to the developers. And so the developers came back and filed their DEIS, which was a response to the initial discussions. That's where you heard about the hotel and other developments. And again, public hearings were held and comments were made. And then it went back to the developers to come back and respond to that and that's where they are tonight, with the FEIS.

2.3

We are probably, in my mind, like halfway there. So I don't want anyone to think when you hear a Final Environmental Impact
Statement this is final, this is it, something's going to be written in stone. It's not. It still has to be opined upon by this town board and it still has to go through the planning board process where it hasn't even gone yet. So we're still quite a ways through the process.

SEQR, as I said, is the process that we

SEQR, as I said, is the process that we go through to lawfully follow any development that comes up anywhere in town. And the SEQR does not require this meeting tonight, but the town board decided we want to hear from the public. This is going to have an impact on the community, we're aware that a lot of people are following it, and before we made any decisions, we wanted to hear from each and every one who wants to speak.

People can come up and sign up, we'll go through the process for that of how we want to do that. But I also want to state because I know a lot of people are not comfortable making public

2.3

May 2, 2022

addresses or speaking, despite my favorite picture that I just put up there, that people sometimes are not comfortable in front of a crowd.

If you submit it in writing, it's just as effective. Every statement is going to be recorded and examined. And thus far, I believe we're up to about a thousand comments on MOD to date. So that's an important statement to make. The only thing this town board wants to do tonight is to hear from you. We are not going to speak as far as giving you our opinions. We've decided this in advance. We want to hear from you, then take it back.

In the future, we will have a work session, a public work session where we as town board members will debate it. That will be advertised and announced and very transparent. That's very important. Tonight, it's about you guys making the statement. So, spoiler alert, no vote.

After today, we will close the public hearing, but still allow 20 days for public

2.3

comment. So anyone who wants to submit a letter, an e-mail, any form of correspondence will be valid for the next 20 days.

And again, before we get to that work session down the road, we will make sure everyone knows about it. Just like for tonight, we sent out 350 letters announcing today. We're required to do I think it was to do about 70, but the town board wanted to expand it to make sure everyone in the community knew about this, so we sent out 350 mailings. It's on our website, Facebook page, Instagram, and next time I'll add it to my supervisor's weekly newsletter, as well as local newspapers and the signage in front of town, in front of the two buildings.

So I just want to conclude that my objective, and I think the town board's objective tonight is three things. Transparency, so you guys will witness every part of it, obviously tonight and again in the work session coming up in the next few months. We want you to know that we're listening to you. Every statement will be recorded and documented and reviewed. And

2.3

May 2, 2022

finally, you should know you participated in the process.

These properties are important to me. I could start with Evergreen Manor, where I spent the first eight years of my life. My parents used to, we used to summer in the cottages, bungalows on Evergreen Manor. I used to fish in the pond that was there. I used to ride horses and swim in a pool that was there in the past and had all my meals on the front porticos in front of those big columns.

And I practiced medicine from 1984 to
1992 I believe in the Cortlandt Medical Building,
so that property is important to me. And I
remember when I was waiting to open my office,
the public debate about the medical building
itself, that it was too big back then. So I'm
very sensitive to these issues.

Anyway, so it's near and dear to my heart. We want to do what you guys want, we want to listen to you, so that's what tonight is about. I'm going to call upon Tom Wood, our town attorney, to give us an outline of how we're

2.3

going to proceed tonight and where we are with the process. And then I'm going to ask Michelle Robbins, our planner, to go through the process of where we are today and then we're going to open it up for your comments. Tom?

MR. TOM WOOD: Okay. Thank you. Well, supervisor, I think you took away most of my statement. But, good evening, members of the town board, ladies and gentlemen. As the supervisor indicated to you, there is a process that has to be followed and on a proposal as large and as controversial as this, SEQRA, which is the State Environmental Quality Review Act, requires that we get as much public input and comment as possible.

So when this was first proposed as part of the master plan, the master plan also was subject to public comment and the process before that adopted. What a master plan is, is it's an overview of the entire town, an analysis of all of the town's resources, open space, etc., and a generic proposal as to what the needs of the community are at that time and how the town board

2.3

should perhaps consider the zoning to implement the master plan.

And so lo and behold, with the hospital at that time was seeking an expansion, etc., it automatically triggered that MOD, the Medically Oriented District proposal in the master plan be one of the first to start into the process. And that engendered the two property owners across from the hospital to participate in the process with site specific proposals and concepts that they thought would fit into the vision of MOD.

And so that started, as Dr. Becker indicated, with public outreach meetings in 2018 with respect to the proposal, and then four public hearings, including this evening.

So what SEQRA is and what we are doing is there are three parts to it this evening. So first or all, there is a study of the zoning law and a proposed MOD zoning ordinance. That's the action that the town board would have to consider. The second is an analysis of if the zoning were enacted, these are potential developments. What would be the impacts as

2.3

proposed by the two property owners. So that's the three parts that are being discussed in the SEQRA process.

So, the planning board's role would be if the town board agrees to amend the zoning, then it goes to the planning board for a series of public hearings and site specific adjustments, whether it be sidewalks, the location of the buildings, the design of the buildings, the color of the buildings, things like that, to adjust it to the site, protection, further protection of wetlands, etc.

So when this process started, certain impacts were identified as to what impacts would be. So, for instance, traffic was an issue.

Wetlands, water supply, sewage, all those aspects were analyzed and they are put into these are potential impacts. So the Draft Environmental Impact Study was a first attempt to discuss these are the impacts and these how we feel these impacts can be mitigated, how they can be handled and addressed.

And that document is referred to other

2.3

agencies, not just the town. So it goes to the Department of Transportation, who controls and owns Route 202, a key road in this whole factor. And they review the impacts and they review proposed mitigation of traffic lights, turning lanes, widening, etc. that would take place as part of the project.

That all came back. We had a public hearing, as Dr. Becker indicated, we had over a thousand comments. So after the public hearing and the comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Study, it goes back to the drawing board if you will. And the developers had to respond as to all of those questions. How would, what would they see would be what would be appropriate based on the public comment.

So you'll hear from the town planner the changes that they have proposed to make in their projects in response to the comments of the public and those other agencies. This has all been put together in what SEQRA refers to as a Final Environmental Impact Statement because it's the culmination of two rounds of studies.

findings.

So what an FEIS does is after tonight's

hearing, the town board has to decide if there's

any holes or gaps, if they need additional

information. And then at that point, the town

board reviews all this data and all these studies

and all your comments and they have to make

And those findings would be these are the impacts that were identified, these are the mitigations that have been proposed. And they discuss whether or not the mitigations would be acceptable or not, would they do the job or not. And if not, the board would have to decide perhaps if certain things were reduced, the impacts would be lessened. If things were moved or changed, an impact would be. That's what the findings process is that the town board, that's where the elected officials of the town go through a deliberative process to discuss all of the information that's been gathered, all of the comments. And that comes out in a findings statement.

And it's not until the point that the

24

1

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

2.3

town board decides on a findings statement can they then move forward and consider a local law to amend the zoning ordinance. That local law, it has been on the website, it's been adjusted to meet some of the comments, to make it more understandable. So this evening we take comments on the local law and we take comments on either proposed development.

As the supervisor indicated, the public hearing would be closed, there would be 20 days to submit written comments and then there'll be a process to analyze those comments to see if there's any additional information needed etc. and then a series of public work sessions where the town board members would, at an open, public meeting, discuss their thoughts, views and comments about the process and where it's at.

Then at the conclusion of that, if they chose to go forward they would then put on a public town board voting meeting, a document called a findings statement from the town board. And that findings statement would be the closure of the SEQRA process.

24

1

2

3

So there's a lot yet to come, there's a lot more work to be done. That's why this evening we're trying not to have debates. It's to hear your concerns, to see if we, if any of the modifications that have been made lessen those concerns, perhaps they don't, perhaps they do. That's what this is all about, to hear and to make sure that all the concerns have been subject to some discussion or review in the documents. So with that said, I will turn it over to Michelle Robbins, one of the town planners who will give you a brief synopsis of where we were when we were here for the Draft Environmental Impact Study, the changes that have been made and where we are tonight.

MS. MICHELLE ROBBINS: Good evening, everyone. I'm just going to share my screen and I'm going to pull up a little presentation here. So I reviewed all of the FEIS documents submitted by the applicants and I'm going to just summarize the major project changes that have been proposed by the applicants between the draft EIS and the final EIS.

2.3

So just to recap, there are three aspects of the proposed action that the town board will deliberate on. One is the proposed MOD zoning, two is the Evergreen Manor project proposal and three is the Gyrodyne project proposal. It should also be noted that the Gyrodyne FEIS proposal is an all medical proposal. However, Gyrodyne also evaluated an alternative proposal in the FEIS which is referred to as the mixed-use alternative and includes both medical and residential uses and I will go into detail on that a little bit further.

Both proposals were analyzed in the FEIS study. So first, I'm going to review the modifications to the MOD zoning between the draft and final. So this picture here on the left is the DEIS MOD zoning boundary. So in the original draft environmental impact statement, the zoning proposed creating a MOD within a -- including all of these parcels shown in this picture here in the medical oriented district.

Based on public comment, we have revised the MOD zoning, sorry, we have revised the MOD

2.3

zoning and now the proposal includes these parcels here. So it went from 34 parcels at 105 acres to 69.2 acres and 13 parcels. So this is currently the MOD zoning district.

The MOD was revised from an overlap district to a mapped district between the draft and the final. Hotel uses were eliminated as a permitted use in the MOD zoning district. The zoning was revised to include a requirement that ten percent of all new housing units in the MOD, excluding the assisted living and the memory care, meet the definition of affordable per the town of Cortlandt's zoning code and density calculations are now based on the bulk regulations that are listed in the zoning code.

Then, I'm going to go to the -- this is the first Evergreen Manor FEIS proposal. I'll discuss that first. So currently, between draft and final, Evergreen has modified their proposal and has now submitted a proposal that includes 114 assisted living units in a four-story building, and then there's a separate smaller building, so that's this building here. And then

there's a separate smaller building that would include the assisted independent living units, which would be in a 3-story building. And there are 77 associated parking spaces.

There's a total of 236 dwelling units proposed on the site, including 166 in a five story building and then 70 town homes in two-story buildings, with a total of 275 surface parking spaces.

In addition, there's a small, 7,000 square foot retail space here with 75 parking spaces. So that's a total of 427 parking spaces versus the 650 parking spaces in the DEIS. And the other changes were the proposed hotel was eliminated from the Evergreen project and was replaced basically with the 70 two-story townhomes.

Thirty thousand square feet of the proposed 37,000 square feet of medical office, dental lab and commercial space, which was in the DEIS proposal, was eliminated from the Evergreen Manor project, leaving 7,000 square feet of commercial space.

2.3

The number of assisted living units

proposed on the Evergreen site was reduced from

120 units to 114 units. That's comprised of 18

memory care units, 39 assisted living studios, 26

assisted living one-bedroom units and 23 onebedroom independent living units, and eight twobedroom independent living units.

There was an increase in overall disturbance area of approximately three acres. That's largely due to the increased footprint of the townhomes versus the single hotel building. Okay. That's the Evergreen proposal currently as proposed in the FEIS.

Now we're looking at the Gyrodyne proposal. So the Gyrodyne development proposal includes -- and they phased their proposal, Phase One would be 100,000 square feet of medical office and a three-story building. That's this building here. And then within that, there would be 4,000 square feet of accessory commercial.

That accessory commercial would be to service the folks that are using the building, the visitors and the employees. It is not a destination

2.3

retail, it would not be, you know, generally used by the public. It would be for folks if you go to a medical office and you go to a café that's there or grab a sandwich, that's what that accessory is for, that accessory commercial is for or potentially also for other like acupuncturists or other types of medical that isn't pure medical.

Then they would also have 455 parking spaces, no sorry, 513 total spaces in Phase One, 303 of those spaces would be within a structured parking garage, which is proposed here. I don't know if everyone can see my cursor on the screen.

Phase Two of the Gyrodyne proposal would be 84,600 square feet of medical in a three-story building. So the one thing you have to understand about the Gyrodyne site is there's already 30,000 square feet of medical existing on the site. So the net would be 54,600 square feet of medical, okay, after replacing the existing medical. And that would occur in Phase Two, because they would plan to keep the existing medical open, construct Phase One, close the existing medical and then

2.3

construct Phase Two and move everybody from the existing medical into the new building.

And then there would be 385 parking spaces proposed as part of Phase Two, so that's a total of 939 spaces between the two phases versus 635 in the DEIS. That's largely because medical office requires significantly more parking than residential does. And their original proposal in the DEIS was a mixed use proposal with residential and medical.

Gyrodyne is also asking the town board to consider a mixed-use alternative, which is similar to the proposal that was in the DEIS but it's kind of a scaled back version. The mixed-use alternative that they're requesting that the town board consider would be about 83,500 square feet of medical office in three stories and 1,500 square feet of accessory commercial, which would probably be some sort of café, for a total of 85,000 square feet. But that's a net of approximately 55,000 square feet of medical because they have that existing 30,000 square feet.

2.3

That would be versus the 100,000 square feet of medical office that was proposed in the DEIS. And their mixed-use alternative includes 160 residential units in four stories. And that is about 40 units less than the original DEIS proposal which had 200 units in five stories.

And again, the structured parking would be, let's see, let's see if they have it. I'm going to show you a picture of the -- sorry, that's the mixed-use alternative here. And there still would be structured parking associated with the medical office building. And then, so this is an alternative they've asked the board to also consider in the FEIS.

And then I'm just going to summarize quickly, give a couple of the traffic points that I received from the traffic consultant that did the traffic impact study. All improvement measures that were previously discussed in the DEIS remain in the FEIS, including the adaptive traffic control system that was identified. It is, in addition, the ban on left turning vehicles from Route 202/35 to Bear Mountain Parkway with

2.3

rerouting via Conklin Avenue, which is less than 30 vehicles per hour, is also proposed to alleviate congestion on the intersection of Route 202/35 and Bear Mountain Parkway.

The proposed project, with the improvement measures would result in a reduction in corridor delay along Route 202/35 from Dayton Lane to Lexington Avenue of one minute and 45 seconds during the weekday a.m. peak hour and then a 45 second reduction in the weekday p.m. peak hour.

And it just, it should be pointed out that the improvements associated with the project obviously would not occur if the project didn't occur. So the difference between the improvements between the FEIS and the DEIS is that actually there had previously been a 17-second reduction and now it's up to one minute and 45 seconds in the a.m. peak hour and a 34-second reduction in the weekday p.m. peak hour, which was previously a one minute and 27 second reduction in the DEIS. So the afternoon gets a little bit worse between the draft and the final and the morning gets a

2.3

2 little bit better. And that's it.

DR. BECKER: Okay. Thank you, both Tom and Michelle, a lot of information there. I'm just going to make one comment on traffic, because there's a lot of information there.

Traffic on 202 sucks. I don't know how else to say it. It's just terrible now. [applause] So what the traffic study showed is that if nothing gets done, and I'm kind of combining what Michelle said and what Tom said, because sometimes, it's hard to pull out the little pieces.

If nothing gets built, traffic on 202 at that bottleneck in front of the hospital is going to get worse and it's going to get worse because of what's happening in Peekskill, I don't know if you guys have gone in there and seen all the high rises, and what's going on in Yorktown. So traffic goes from Peekskill to Yorktown and Yorktown to Peekskill and we get stuck in that bottleneck in the middle.

For this project to go forward, traffic must improve. So before one shovel gets in the

2.3

ground, one nail gets nailed, we have to make sure that traffic gets no worse. And what the AKRF Traffic Study showed and what was substantiated by New York State Department of Transportation, the DOT, which has control of the road, that if five mitigating things were done, the traffic would get, either stay the same or get a little better. But if nothing gets done with MOD and no improvements are made, the traffic is going to get worse. Is that correct?

MS. ROBBINS: Yes.

MR. WOOD: Yes.

DR. BECKER: With that, Laroue, can I ask you to lead us through the audience participation part?

MS. LAROUE SHATZKIN: Actually, we're going to open the public hearing to speaking. Please do not yell comments from the floor. The microphones in the room can't pick them up, so you won't be heard, it won't be recorded. If anyone has not signed up to speak and you would like to speak, Elvia is over by the door and has signup sheets that she'll bring up to me as

1	May 2, 2022
2	people sign up. There are also individuals who
3	are watching via Zoom. I'm going to allow them to
4	speak once everyone in this room who wishes to
5	speak has completed. There are many people who
6	have signed up, we have a lot of people online.
7	If you could please be brief, out of respect for
8	everyone who wants to make their comments, I'm
9	sure everyone would appreciate it. 'm going to
10	start with
11	DR. BECKER: Madam Clerk, can I
12	interrupt for a second?
13	MS. SHATZKIN: Of course.
14	DR. BECKER: Procedurally.
15	MS. SHATZKIN: Yes.
16	DR. BECKER: My mistake. We have to call
17	the meeting to order, and we must say the pledge
18	or otherwise tonight never happened.
19	MS. SHATZKIN: And do a roll call.
20	DR. BECKER: And do a roll call.
21	MS. SHATZKIN: Yes.
22	DR. BECKER: Thank you. If everyone
23	would join me, I'd appreciate it.
24	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the

1	May 2, 2022
2	flag of the United States of America and to the
3	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
4	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
5	all.
6	MS. SHATZKIN: Thank you, supervisor.
7	Councilman Mayes?
8	MR. ROBERT MAYES: Present.
9	MS. SHATZKIN: Councilwoman Jacoby?
10	MS. CHRISTIN JACOBY: Here.
11	MS. SHATZKIN: Supervisor Becker?
12	DR. BECKER: Here.
13	MS. SHATZKIN: Councilman Creighton?
14	MR. JAMES CREIGHTON: Here.
15	MS. SHATZKIN: Councilman Farrell?
16	MR. FRANCIS FARRELL: Here.
17	MS. SHATZKIN: All are present.
18	DR. BECKER: Now, we're all official.
19	MS. SHATZKIN: Yes, now we're all
20	official. And I'd like to call David Weinberger
21	to the podium.
22	MR. DAVID WEINBERGER: Thank you. David
23	Weinberger, 3 Birchwood Lane. There have been
24	flyers, lawn signs, e-mails, people standing in

2.3

front of you in public meetings with a simple message, stop the MOD. If the MOD were a good plan, we would not be staying stop the MOD. But if you listen to what we're saying, read the submitted questions and comments, read our letters, real messages, different and more hopeful, change the MOD.

We're not opposed to a MOD, we're opposed to this MOD. Change the scale of the project. Since it is in our backyard, it needs to be in keeping with the adjacent neighborhood. It is not at this point. The hotel has been eliminated from the application. Good. Nice start. There was never a demonstrated need, so it's good that it's gone.

Now, let's move on to the apartments, the townhouses and the 1,366 total parking spaces. Here too, the stated rationales don't work. For example, we haven't found any hospital employees that want to live where they work.

We've asked. Have you? We know from their responses to the direct questions that show up in the FEIS the applicants have not asked. There's

2.3

lots of logic that just doesn't hold.

The change in the plan and the zoning should put medical at the heart of the MOD. This is a high density economic development plan that happens to have medical in its name, maybe better suited to Route 6.

There is no opposition to updating or replacing existing medical offices on the Gyrodyne site. But the high density residential is not medical. Speaking of medical, if there are NYP representatives in the room tonight, please make your presence known to the town board and to the rest of us. We're still waiting.

As I said almost two years ago, we can only make sense of the MOD with public, transparent information about the NYP plans for the existing campus on the north side of 202. Their intentions and actions affect density, traffic, environment, the economy and the social wellbeing of our community. Without knowing the intentions for the north side of 202, we're trying to make decisions about the south side of 202 in a vacuum. That is not a good way to make

2.3

2 good public policy.

Change the plan. Make the environment an equal partner, consistent with the way it is stated in the Envision Cortlandt Plan. Minimum targets for fuel reduction, fossil fuel reduction, energy efficiency, green space, sound and light pollution are just that, minimums. We can and should do better than the minimum voluntary targets.

LEED, Leadership in Energy Environmental Design is a good start. We need successful certification for all new construction of the MOD, not just an application on half of it, but actually have it and do it successfully.

Require solar panels and solar parking canopies. At this point, it's not that we don't know what needs to be done. We just have to make sure it happens. It's as if global warming is not happening if there aren't environmental issues to be dealt with for all of us. For us, the children of Cortlandt and the grandchildren of Cortlandt has to start now.

Part of the conversation seems to have

2.3

been that we don't want the MOD because change is difficult. Well, apparently that's really true for the developers who can't seem to make meaningful change to deal with density, the environment, walkability, medical as the focus of the MOD.

We're waiting for a single integrated coherent plan where the parts fit and complement one another, where the plan serves the goals of the MOD as stated in the sustainable comprehensive Envision Cortlandt plan. Not there.

If it seems that we're starting to repeat ourselves, there's good reason for that. The only other voice in the room has been from the developers. We're not hearing what you, our town leadership thinks of all this. It's not brain surgery. The applicants represent their own interests as a profit making commercial entity in business to make money.

There is no reason to expect the developers to give priority to the wellbeing of the community as we expect of our public servants. What do you think of the plan? We

2.3

should not have to wait until the end of the process to hear your answers. We shouldn't have to wait seven years into the process. Thank you for that accounting of time. We shouldn't have to wait seven years into the process and still not know what you think.

So, we keep raising these issues. What you think could look like the town planning and zoning boards making explicit what the plan must do. It could also state what the plan cannot include. Tell us how the MOD will meet our best interests as a community and not those of the developers. We need to hear from you. Change the MOD, listen to us, change the MOD. [applause]

MS. SHATZKIN: Thomas Russo.

DR. BECKER: Thank you very much for those comments.

MS. SHATZKIN: As David did, for the record, could you state your name and address?

MR. THOMAS RUSSO: Yeah. Thomas Russo,
241 Buttonwood Avenue. So I've been up in front
of the board, most recently, newest board member,
Mr. Mayes, took the time to have a meeting on the

2.3

May 2, 2022

computer with some of my neighbors on Buttonwood.

Thank you for that. I just want to ask a quick question to Tom Wood. You mentioned that this MOD idea was in the Envision Cortlandt.

MR. WOOD: Yes.

MR. RUSSO: Okay. So in 2004, there was a master plan. Right, section 179, section 307, that was instituted in that master plan spoke about recognizing wetlands and their proper uses and the over development of them. So my question to you is why would you even allow the MOD to go in as is to the new plan when it seems to fly in the face of the older plan. Okay.

Now, I just want to know one thing for the entire town board. What's the net benefit to the town? Former Supervisor Puglisi and I sat down and went over the numbers and when we put our heads together and went over this, the math basically worked out to this. There's about 16,000 households in the total town. Is that correct, Dr. Becker, give or take?

DR. BECKER: Slightly less, but very close.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2 MR. RUSSO: Okay. So when we went over the math, came out to, as is, about \$15 per year 3 for the household for the town taxes, and about 4 \$60 net benefit on the school side of things. \$75 5 per household, on average, in tax benefit versus 6 7 what could possibly be a disaster. Okay. So and the last thing I will say is AKRF, I mean Dr. 8 9 Becker, you're a medical guy. There's obviously a 10 downside to this lessening of prosecution of use 11 of drugs because, I mean there's something wrong 12 that traffic is going to get better? A thousand 13 cars more a day, traffic is going to get better? 14 I highly doubt that. 15

So again, I just, I know it's the town board and the people that want to develop this keep going back to this Envision Cortlandt and the MOD and this, and we did a survey. But the earlier town plan flies in the face of it. Thank you. [applause]

MS. SHATZKIN: Mr. Peter Casey.

MR. PETER CASEY: Hello, Peter Casey, I live at 235 Lafayette Avenue, I'm a recent resident, I moved in earlier this year. This

2.3

proposal came onto my plate, I wasn't aware of it upon signing the agreement for the mortgage. So I know that my, I haven't lived here long enough, but I do have experience from where I used to live. I used to live in New Jersey, right next to a giant complex, with a massive parking lot. And one thing I noticed, there were no stars in the sky at all. Even like during 2020, a massive empty parking lot and those lights were just on all the time.

So I looked through your proposal and the comment of reducing light pollution, your only response was, the comment was noted. Do you actually have any proposal or idea of how you're going to reduced light pollution?

DR. BECKER: Michelle, can you answer that, what the comment noted means in the reports?

MS. ROBBIN: Well if there isn't a specific question asked sometimes like a specific question, they would reference comment noted. But I think in this particular case, it's likely because the site plan hasn't progressed to a

2.3

place yet where there's actually lighting proposed on the site. And that would be done during the site plan review. So that typically when --

DR. BECKER: Meaning at the planning board.

MS. ROBBINS: -- yeah, during the planning board review, that's typically when they would look at the light fixtures and they would require full cutoff fixtures so they don't have light pollution into the sky and maybe some shielding and they would look at the numbers and the amount of lighting on the site.

MR. CASEY: Okay. So, I have some information here. What if I told you that wasn't good enough for light pollution to actually reduce light pollution? There have been a lot of advancements in technology as far as light pollution goes. One of the main things that a lot of the urban infrastructures are done with low pressure sodium lamps, thus the orange red glow that you're used to and it makes everything very difficult to see. There are other advancements in

LED technology as far as occupancy sensors.

Now, I'm sure you're well aware of an occupancy sensors of the neighbor's light or back yard turning on, these are more gradual ones that will slowly dim and increase the light depending on where it's needed. This vastly reduced light pollution to at least 80 percent, because light is only there when it's needed, it has basically can also be extremely energy efficient.

Make sure to use warm lights when you do the lighting decision. A lot of the halogen lights are considered these days but they emit a blue wavelength, which disrupts the environment, it causes algae to grow in areas where it's not normally supposed to grow, because it's too high energy, it allows the plants to grow. So you want to have a light temperature less than 3,000 Kelvin. That's one of the things I want to propose that you do.

A lot of these, obviously, of course the shielded and reducing glares and a lot of these new energy efficient solutions will reduce light pollution, keep our community sky the way it's

1 May 2, 2022 supposed to be, nice and rich with stars at 2 night, and then the U.S. Green Building Council 3 4 has also incorporated a credit by reducing the amount of light that's actually admitted in their 5 friendly building standards practice known as 6 7 LEED. So I'm just, I don't like them -- I 8 9 agree with everyone here, change the MOD, 10 although I know my place, I'm a new resident. So, 11 I'm just attacking your light pollution because I 12 don't want the sky to turn into where I moved 13 from. 14 DR. BECKER: Thank you, Mr. Casey and I 15 agree with you. Thank you for your comments. 16 MR. CASEY: Yes. [applause] 17 DR. BECKER: I'm also a member, I'm an 18 amateur astronomer and there's a light pollution 19 group that attacks this. They write it up in Sky 20 and Telescope and other magazines and --21 MR. CASEY: You should look into parking 22 lots that have occupancy sensors installed. 2.3 DR. BECKER: We will.

MR. CASEY: It is very helpful and

24

1 May 2, 2022 2 really nice. DR. BECKER: It's a nice quality of life 3 4 issue, thank you. 5 MR. CASEY: Yes. [applause] MS. SHATZKIN: Miriam Wineberger. 6 7 MS. MIRIAM WINEBERGER: I'll be very 8 brief, Miriam Wineberger, 3 Birchwood Lane. We've 9 lived there for 29 years. And with respect, I 10 just have to say that the scope of this project 11 and the potential zoning that would allow it is, 12 it's just wrong. It's just wrong. And the ongoing opposition to this for again, thank you for the 13 14 number of years, to me it seems like forever, is 15 very legitimate. And it's been exasperating to 16 me, I felt that we have not been heard. We have 17 made comments, we show up. But we have not been 18 heard. And I'm getting a little old and decrepit. 19 But I'm not so decrepit that I can't lay down in 20 front of a bulldozer. Thank you. [applause] 21 MS. SHATZKIN: Mike Fleming. 22 MR. MICHAEL FLEMING: Michael Fleming, I 2.3 live at 24 Millwood Road. I served on the

Envision Cortlandt Master Plan committee. I was

24

2.3

actually very excited when Michelle actually brought the concept of MOD and the transportation oriented district to the committee for us to talk about. It was real forward thinking and I was really happy to hear the first speaker say that stop the MOD isn't really where he falls. It's kind of change the MOD. And that's kind of where I fall as well.

I'm excited to see some other reductions that we've seen. I'm not quite sure they're enough, but I do think the planning board is probably where that next step needs to take place. But overall, I've got to tell you, I think you guys should really -- this idea of a MOD is great for our town. It's not just about the \$75 a person tax dollars, but I'll take 75 bucks whenever the town wants to give it to me.

But I think it's really about the idea that we're going to develop a section of this town, I hope, responsibly but again, I'll leave that to the planning board, to revolve around this great opportunity we have. We have New York Presbyterian Hospital investing in our town,

2.3

partnering with this great hospital. I think this is something that you could should continue to go forward with.

The other thing I just wanted to say though was the mailing for this, I know Dr.

Becker, you mentioned this, you know, the law requires you to do a mailing to a certain number of residents around where you're going to do something and you guys did increase that. On things like this, that's just not enough. You have to do a whole town mailing. [applause] This is not just — this doesn't just impact the people who live directly around the MOD. It's a big town, however many residents, 30,000 plus residents. This is going to impact the entire town.

I want you to consider the opinions obviously of the people who live right next door, and usually when these things happen, it's the people who live next door have the loudest voices. But this whole town really needs to be very involved in this. And when you have these meetings, I really would like you, especially on

2.3

these large issues, invite the whole town to these things. Otherwise, again, I like changing the MOD, but I do think the MOD is a great idea for our town. Thanks. [applause]

MS. SHATZKIN: Nicole Amabile.

MS. NICOLE AMABILE: Hi, Nicole Amabile, 2003 Crompond Road. I am smack in the middle of the Evergreen Manor. I've been there for 15 years. Dr. Becker, the pool is still there. It just looks a lot different, a lot different.

DR. BECKER: Thank you.

MS. AMABILE: But I've enjoyed living there and I've known the developer for quite a number of years, I've been there for 15 years. And I do feel that he will do this very responsibly. I do feel that there needs to be progress in this town and I know that change is really, really difficult. And the way it should be done should be in a responsible fashion.

And I was asked to speak just to give the others the opposite point of view. Just a couple of things that were written down for me, and I've been witnessing this over the past 15

2.3

years and I've seen the environmental people come to the, the property doing all sorts of samples with the wetlands, with the soil, with the trees. They've tagged the trees I can't tell you how many times. I'm always looking outside and going who are you and what are you doing here. Like why is another person up my driveway.

But anyway, it's been interesting and it has been quite fun to live there and it is pretty. I always joke around that I live on a nature preserve, which basically it is. But I do think something like this would bring a lot of tax dollars and everything. Right now, Evergreen Manor isn't bringing any tax dollars. He's not making enough off of me for this, I'll tell you that much.

But we need to get some stuff into this town, I truly believe that. I've been familiar with the past three different times, I think this is the third modification to the MOD, I think. Is it true? The third time or second time?

DR. BECKER: It's the second formal change.

2.3

MS. ABABILE: The second formal change. So a couple of points that I wanted to point out and I think this is fair to really mention is that this Evergreen Manor is going to afford the town of Cortlandt to get jobs in both construction and permanent areas. It's going to bring a lot of jobs here. And that's a huge thing, which will bring in revenue in the form of taxes in the district. Oh, by the way, I work for Lakeland Central School District, so there's a double whammy there.

And it will allow people to age in place, which is really important, especially when my father was passing away, it would have been nice to have something like that, instead of having to run all the way down county and that sort of thing.

It's going to allow people rental apartments. There really aren't any rental apartments. Try to find one, that are quality, by the way. It'll have assisted living, you guys know that, with the presentation before. Condos, townhomes, and as you were discussion earlier,

2.3

Dr. Becker and Mr. Wood, the traffic here is just horrific, horrific.

And I purposely avoid 202 at certain times of the day when I can, and let me tell you, I've gotten really good at knowing how to navigate the back roads. Lafayette is my friend. But anyway, they're going to do, the developers, talk about implementing needed sewer infrastructures and you guys all know that the sewer infrastructure here could use a lot of help. And the traffic lights of course, still help and they'll work with the traffic and the hospital to get that and the developer will help pay for it.

Quality rental apartments and houses, assisted living units, and the Envision Cortlandt which was mentioned by Thomas Russo. He had said that, it's right here, completed a wide array of housing types to support the hospital and larger community. I think that it would be good. I don't know how many, like you said, I don't know if anybody's been asking the hospital if they want to live and work in the same area. But, you know,

2.3

there's time to do those surveys.

And I mean it will bring revenue to us, people will finally start coming to us instead of going to White Plains. That's a good thing and it will help bring more money to us which will help our infrastructure. That's a really big thing.

And the developers will demonstrate how they could work with the hospital to make this work in a responsible way, which is important. And again, I think it's a good thing, and I think it will help this county and this town, because it is a beautiful town.

And I like the fact that there are still a lot of parks here. Blue Mountain is gorgeous, I don't know if you've hiked it, but you should.

And I think it'll bring people here and then they'll start learning to come up here instead of going all over the other places, like Bear

Mountain to go hiking. And then let's talk about downtown Peekskill. That's gorgeous. That'll bring more people here too. And that is it. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you for listening. [applause] I know it's difficult to

2.3

May 2, 2022

hear both sides, but we try, right. That's what this is about. It's democracy. Thank you.

DR. BECKER: Thank you, Nicole. Who is next, Madam Clerk?

MS. SHATZKIN: Stacy Rivera.

MS. STACY RIVERA: Stacy Rivera, 246
Buttonwood Ave. Good evening, Supervisor Becker,
Town of Cortlandt board members, Gyrodyne
developers and team, my friends, my neighbors,
I've lived at 246 Buttonwood Avenue for almost 13
years. It was a dream come true when my husband
and I went shopping for our first home together,
after living in apartments in the city. We have
loved watching our family grow, surrounded by so
many amazing neighbors, community groups,
enjoying fun events and nature hikes.

It has also been especially enlightening to see how close we are to nature now that we own a Ring. We have seen the neighborhood coyotes, foxes, skunks, raccoons, deer and more visit our home. Now most of these animals seem to live behind us, right in the woods area where some of these proposals have been made to add apartments,

2.3

parking lots, and more. Where will these animals go? We have to ask ourselves. They will come out and start looking for new homes, yes? That'd be fine if it wasn't for the direction it could push them, which is towards 202.

With Route 202 showing projected increases in traffic, even with action in place, that will then lead to more accidents. Nowhere in the current traffic reports does it include the projected increase of nature impacted accidents. The character of this neighborhood includes these animals roaming the woods. The trees showing beautiful colors throughout the different seasons, the view of the rainbow outside many of our back yards, it gives the feel of a suburban residential area.

And Supervisor Becker, at the June 16th public hearing you told us that, and I quote, we do not want to change the character of the neighborhood. But that is exactly what these proposed developments would do. [applause] I invite any one of you to come and stand in my back yard at my house and I would hope many of my

neighbors would invite you to do the same. And I want you to come and experience what I get to see every day, the beauty in my beloved neighborhood.

And then I would invite you to envision the three-story medical buildings and fewer trees in that area and that view. And you know what that would look like then? Definitely not the same character. My imagination would take me to the view in the city, more cars going by, more noise, more traffic, more buildings. Everything that I did not move here for.

In a recent article of the Examiner, the writer, Rick Pezzullo stated that Supervisor

Becker, you still had some concerns about these projects. And I remember hearing you mention on June 16th as well, that you thought that, and again, quoted, one or two on each lot is more appropriate.

However, since June 16th, we have seen minimal change to these proposals. Yet we are informed that they have downsized because there is no longer a hotel. Townhomes, rentals, apartments, retail, parking lots, medical

2.3

buildings is still an awful lot of square footage, population and projected traffic for the character of this proposed area.

While on the subject of the recent article from the *Examiner*, Supervisor Becker, my apologies again, for quoting you so much, I would like to understand why the town would like to, quote, create a zoning to match what's going to be built versus asking the developers to create a proposal to match the zoning already in place.

[applause] And this again, would be to match our character of our town.

My understanding is that our town has also lifted many limitations which makes these developers have an open slate to work with on their properties with no rules in place. Now why is there no longer a limitation on maximum number of apartments or size of medical buildings? It is starting to seem awfully convenient that the rules were lifted now and the zoning is waiting to be changed based on the developers.

There are plenty more concerns that I would love to address, but I know you're getting

2.3

tired of me talking, so I will wrap up with a request that has been asked at every public hearing, and I do appreciate that it was brought up at the beginning. We need more transparency. Neighbors were reassured on June 16th by past Supervisor Puglisi that the mailing would get better and directly towards someone on Northridge, I quote Madam Puglisi this time, saying, it won't happen again. Yet I made sure to contact many people and find out if they got that mailing. And somebody on Northridge still did not get your most recent mailing.

Traffic projections go all the way down to 202, down 202 to Maple Row and Croton and 6 and Bear Mountain. These projections show impact. So why are we not including these neighbors in our mailing as well? Why do we get phone calls for all kinds of important town information, yet there has never once been a robocall for any of these larger hearings? [applause] I also appreciate how you said you'll be doing it in the weekly updates from now on. I was going to ask about that one. Or coming from our new

2.3

spokeswoman Casey? I comment you on how you have recently found ways to communicate with all of us. But include the MOD in all these great efforts.

We were told, and again, I'm going to quote you one final time I think it is, on June 16th, Supervisor Becker, nothing will be done behind closed doors. This is going to be the most transparent process that can be done legally. Yet even the recent work sessions about the MOD were not publicized well. The only way many of us found out about that more recent one was through an updated, last minute work session agenda where thankfully a neighbor found out and shared it with us all.

So I'm asking more of all of you. I am asking for you think outside the box and communicate with use more about this, as it does impact a large portion of our town, and as mentioned, earlier, rather, our whole town. I am also asking you to follow through with my final quote, I had one more, sorry. Supervisor Becker, you said, I want it to be a cooperative effort.

2.3

Let the whole community have a say, or more liaisons in creation of these proposals to not change the character of our suburban, residential neighborhood, rather than dismissing us in those comments that we have in the FEIS with comment noted. Thank you. [applause]

DR. BECKER: Thank you, Stacy. The only correction I'll make is there's been no town board action in any meeting on this, nor has anything changed since the June meeting. This process was just getting us to here. There's been no vote, no discussions about size or changing anything. It takes time to get all the information in, so you didn't miss anything.

MS. RIVERA: I went to the work session, that's the one I'm talking about, about a month ago.

DR. BECKER: Yeah, so we may say at the work session we're going to schedule something, but there has been no work session discussing one project versus the other, heights or anything or size or locations, or anything. Those will all be in public work sessions. I promise, and you can

2.3

2 quote me all of that. Okay.

MS. RIVERA: [unintelligible] [01:00:16].

DR. BECKER: Yeah, please do. No, you know, it's, you know, that's just the truth and I just want to make sure that's clear. As far as public notification, the reason I say this is probably, you know, at any given time, ten projects before the planning board or before here, and we don't notify the whole community. That's never been done. For example, Hudson Wellness, which is a rehab center in the Teatown area going forward, or Beaver Ridge, which is in the Lower Furnace Dock area that's going on, or some of the solar projects that affect a certain community.

We follow the SEQRA process which dictates who should be notified. And in addition to that, we usually double or triple, in this case, we went from, I think, I don't want to get it wrong, but I think we went from 70 to 350 notifications. But in addition, you know, we really tried hard. And as I said, I will put it

2.3

on my weekly newsletter. We've only been doing it for about a month, so it's new to us. But we put it on our website, we put it on Instagram, we put it on Facebook, both on the supervisor's page and the town page. We put it in the two newspapers, which is the Gazette, which is the official paper and also Northern Westchester Examiner. And we put the posts up in front of both properties, two on each property. So, we're trying to get the word out. But we can broaden it out for sure. Thank you.

MS. SHATZKIN: Mr. Jim Cassidy.

MR. JIM CASSIDY: Good evening, and thank you very much for allowing us to speak here tonight. I'm going to try to keep it short because most of the predecessors have done a very good job of enunciating what our concerns are. I can't see a couple of traffic lights taking care of the problems on 202. But that's just my own opinion. You increase that many number of cars, it's just not going to get a lot better.

I'm glad to see they've started downsizing, but I think they've got a long ways

to go. I guess I forgot my address, sorry, 226
Buttonwood Avenue and I've been here a long time.
I've been here 40 plus years, so I've seen it
getting more crowded. I just envision this thing
being a disaster, making it a lot more crowded.
I'm not sure we're going to ever get out of
Buttonwood Avenue. But, thank you. [applause]

Mr. Jeff Loughlin.

MS. SHATZKIN:

Putnam and Duchess County.

MR. JEFF LOUGHLIN: Good evening, Town
Supervisor Becker and board members. I thank you
for giving me the opportunity to speak to you
this evening. My name is Jeff Loughlin and I am
the business manager of IUOE Local 137, Operating
Engineers, based in Briarcliff Manor. I represent
the 1,200 men and women of our union who operate
heavy equipment used in the building of roads,
bridges and high rise buildings in Westchester,

Needless to say, our members take great pride in doing their jobs safely and efficiently. As you all know, our operating engineers were an important part of the building of the building of the new Mario Cuomo Bridge, which for better than

2.3

five years was the largest infrastructure project in our great nation.

I'm here to voice my support for the Evergreen Manor proposal. Needless to say, this is something we need in the town and it's been a long time getting to this point, as it's been talked about frequently over the years. I won't deny that my intention here is self supporting of the project based on what we will gain in the number of jobs that this will create.

Operating engineers, people say construction workers make a lot of money. It's all relative and you know when we work year round, we do make money, but we work hard for the money. The problem is there isn't always work. A project like this would serve our members living in this community greatly. They wouldn't have to drive miles and miles to make a fair day's wage. So they would also support the community in what they do.

Local 137 has many of our members residing in this town and as I just mentioned, would greatly appreciate being a part of this

2.3

project. As operating engineers have to travel to and from our jobs, it would certainly be a blessing not to have to travel one hour or an hour and a half to work. They would certainly enjoy working, as is said, in their own back yard and close to home. The rising gas prices have had a tremendous impact on the cost of getting to and from work. The jobs created from this project would be twofold in that there would be both temporary construction jobs until completion, as well as permanent jobs after completion.

The project would also generate increased revenue in the form of taxes for the town and school district. Developers will bring much needed relief to traffic congestion by investing in long overdue traffic improvements with projects estimated to cost \$3.5 million. The developer will also implement much needed sewer infrastructure improvements to support this project.

In closing, I implore this board to close the public hearing and move toward the approval of this project, which in its entirety

2.3

will create 500 construction jobs during the four to five years building of the project. Thank you for your time and understanding of how vital this project is to the working people in the construction trades. Thank you. [applause]

[OFF-MIC CONVERSATION]

MS. SHATZKIN: Ladies and gentlemen, ladies and gentlemen, this is a public hearing. Everyone has the opportunity to speak, okay. I would appreciate if we could keep it civil, okay. Up next is Mr. Tom Walsh.

[OFF-MIC CONVERSATION]

MS. SHATZKIN: Oh, I'm sorry, you wanted the address on file, on the list here is 17

Nantucket Drive in Fishkill.

MR. TOM WALSH: Tom Walsh, 260

Buttonwood Avenue, Cortlandt Manor. It's hard to follow up from Stacy's comments there. She's very well articulated. You know, I've been following the MOD. Go back, you know, I joined the zoning board back in 2018, I'm very grateful for that.

And was at a training session with somebody from the planning board, he was like oh, you're right

2.3

in the middle of the MOD, and I'm like what's the MOD. And that's what brought me into researching it and saying oh, well there was a postcard sent out. I live on Buttonwood, I didn't get the postcard back in 2015. Here nor there. Here we are today.

I still have a number of concerns. I voiced those concerns over the past year. I actually ran for town board based on my objection to the size of this MOD. I spend a lot of time in the last year meeting a lot of neighbors. We all have the same concerns and they're still there. My biggest concern is the updated zoning ordinance that was issued, the draft ordinance issued November 5th removes all the density restrictions that were put in place in 2015.

You moved to a bulk density requirement instead of actual density limits that were put in place in 2018, which the whole SEQRA process has been based on. It was based on 400 max bedrooms, 2,000 square foot maximum medical buildings, 60,000 square foot max commercial and max 130 assisted livings. All those requirements have

2.3

been removed and now you're giving pretty much a free, open book other than based on the density and square footage to these developers.

I've met with the Santuccis, I've met with also members of Gyrodyne, I'm very grateful, I understand, I am in the business too. They're trying to push their projects through, maximizing their profits, but this is my neighborhood, this is our neighborhood. The benefit, this is going to be an adverse effect forever on this neighborhood.

Reading through the FEIS comments,

Supervisor Becker, you actually said we do not
want over development of this property. That's
one of your comments. It's comment 1-147. And it
stood out to me today as I was going through my
notes on this. And then your comments to the

Examiner last week kind of really stood out of
are we giving, now giving the developers an open
book to develop their projects and then we're
going to develop a zoning based on what they want
to propose. That's not right for the community,
that's not what we want, that's not what we've

2.3

been fighting for. We've actually been fighting since 2018 to reduce the limits, not open them up. And that's what essentially you guys have done right now. So thank you. [applause]

MR. WOOD: I'd just like to just comment on that is that the draft of the MOD ordinance that's before you tonight does contain caps on the number of apartments. It's not in the same format as originally. What it does is it provides for minimum square footage of units and then if you look at lot coverage and height restrictions, that's how you can come up with the cap on the limits.

I think before there were approximately 400 bedrooms proposed, and then now if you look at the alternative two in Gyrodyne, which has the residential component, I think if that were the alternative, it would go to 500 units. But that's a proposed alternate alternative. So to say that there is no limit on the number of bedrooms is a little disingenuous.

If you read this and do the calculations, you'll see it's calculated in there

2.3

May 2, 2022

in a different -- in the manner that you normally use when you change into a zoning district as opposed to an overlay zone.

MS. SHATZKIN: Mr. Sal F. I'm sorry, I can't read your last name.

MR. SAL FARINA: First I'm going to apologize, because I'm not going to be as short as everyone else, so. The first thing I wanted to say is that was touched on by other people here -

MS. SHATZKIN: I'm sorry. Can you state your name --

MR. FARINA: I'm Sal Farina, 3
Northridge Road, Cortlandt Manor, New York.

MS. SHATZKIN: Thank you.

MR. FARINA: Okay. The first thing I wanted to touch on is we never received any notice on Northridge Road. And like someone else pointed out before, it was brought up at the last meeting, and it was told, we were told that it would never be done again. I was also told by people talking in the town that Northridge on down isn't really impacted by this project and

2.3

May 2, 2022

that's why it stops at Tamarack, people actually receiving notices. If that is the case, we have to change that.

I know you said you sent out Facebook and Instagram, but whatever we're doing, it's not reaching everybody so it's not working. I think that we should at least have a call like when school closes, when we get a call that the power might go down, a call when there's a storm approaching, to be careful in the streets, make provisions. I think that we should do that with the whole town.

Okay. To get on to what I want to say,
the first thing that I want to say that I always
say and I said this in a meeting that you guys
were kind enough to have with me. Change is good.
If you always say no, you killed a good idea. But
change just for the sake of change is just as
bad. And sometimes I think when we look at
progress and people that want to stop progress we
think that there is something wrong with that.
Sometimes you can have progress but it has to fit
into the criteria of the town. You can't just

have progress for the sake of progress.

question to the town before. Can the town take property to widen the street on 202? Because to my mind, as you build these projects, emergency vehicles, ambulances, school bus access, people turning in and out of block are going to have to have more room. The town said to me that the town did not have the right to take anyone's property. But it was also said to me that the state, these are state roads. And the state has the right, if they feel it's a danger to the people in the area or it's impeding emergency vehicles or ambulances, they would review that and see if they would widen the road.

So I'm afraid that if the scale is left the way it is, what you're going to have is roads going towards this new project in the hospital that are eventually going to be made wider and as they're made wider and you take people's property, those people get upset, they move away and instead of having my neighbor next to me, I have an insurance agent. Instead of having a

2.3

neighbor to my right, I'm going to have a doctor's office or whatever, that these people start moving away.

Now, we've seen this over and over in our lifetime in many different areas. That's what happens when you start impeding on people's property and it gets wider.

There will be more people living and working in this area of this project than all the existing homes that are already there now. I would venture to say that it's going to be at least 100 percent increase into what exists right now. Now, I might be wrong with 100 percent, but I'm pretty sure that when you take how many people that are going to be working there, living there and moving in and out as opposed to how many people on Buttonwood and Tamarack and Northridge and Conklin, I think you'll see there's going to be a lot more people.

Now, building heights, you talk about four and five stories. Four and five stories are usually 60 feet high. Now, it doesn't sound like it's very high, 60 feet, even if you look in this

2.3

room here. But you're talking about 60 feet from grade, so now you're at 202 grade looking up that hill. I would venture to say that that's about 150 to 200 feet up. Let's take the lower number, 150. Then you're going to build a five-story building, 60 feet? That's 210 feet in the air overlooking Buttonwood and overlooking Tamarack.

As someone here had said about lighting, the lighting for security on something at that height over the backyards of all those people that live there, it's going to be horrendous. I don't see how, unless you build 180 foot wall that you're going to block it from spilling into all these people's property.

To touch on math, and I might be wrong, we talked about \$4 million in revenue, now I agree, \$4 million, I used 42,000 people, 42,000 people, \$86, \$86 divided by a month is \$7.16 divided by 30 is .23 cents a day, \$7.16 a month. That's less than people pay for Netflix. I'm pretty sure that that \$4 million that we're going to generate in taxes, as opposed to the quality of life that people live now does not equate.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, Gyrodyne, the same project that we're proposed here that was made for us, for our community, is the same cookie cutter project that they started in Smithtown New York. It's the same thing. I had sent it to Linda Puglisi when she was here, which she was not aware of. It's the same thing. So this is not specialized for us. This is specialized to make money.

Okay. There are a number of empty buildings along 202 and 6 that are not being utilized. I wonder how the school system, if we're going to have all these rental apartments, how the school system is going to suffer from this. Are we going to be able to accommodate people with children for education? To me, the education system will suffer and to me, the most important thing is the education of our kids. [applause] If you attack the education of our kids, if you attack the education of our kids, everything else disintegrates. I mean that's what the whole point of having a family neighborhood and people being involved in their town, it all reverts back, in my mind, to your children and

2.3

your family. So I think that's going to suffer a lot.

I just wanted to read, just, this was in the paper, okay. You're talking about 166 multifamily residential rentals with 244 parking spaces, 120 assisted living unites, 77 parking spaces, 70 two-bedroom townhouses with 73 parking spaces, so 244, 321, nine, four, 324 parking spaces. That's Phase One, okay.

Then we go to Gyrodyne, 184,600 square feet medical office split into two pieces. Is that correct? Split into two pieces, okay. But together, think of the number 184,600 square feet medical. Okay. This is for employees and visitors, visitors going up and down 202, visitors on the existing streets now. Okay. now you take that number and how many parking spaces is that going to generate, 593. Okay. So you're just short of 1,000 parking spaces in between Tamarack and Buttonwood, 1,000 parking spaces, people going back and forth on that street.

Now, I'm just going to go back to my notes, if you bear with me. Okay. So I asked this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

question. Now with all this going on, and with all the existing roads as we all know it exists right now, is there anybody here that can raise their hand and tell me that traffic will not be impacted? Anybody? On the board? Anybody? You don't need a survey to tell you that traffic will be impacted. I don't need a survey by the state, I don't need any official thing telling me everything is going to be all right, we're going to put turning lanes, we're not going to put turning lanes. It's just common sense. With all that we just discussed right now, added on to what we already live in, it's impossible, and I can be quoted on this, impossible for it to accommodate all the traffic that's going to go down 202.

And mind you, you also have when you pass Locust Avenue and Old Locust, you move up into 9, which curves around like this and then you have 9 coming down this way, the Bear Mountain Parkway and then 202 going this way. That's one lane where sometimes people try to turn which you can't even turn. Could you imagine

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018 2.3

May 2, 2022

the traffic in the morning, school buses, coming home at night, emergency vehicles, police coming down that street when you need them. It's impossible.

So, like I said in the beginning, I am not against the MOD. I spoke to the Santucci family. They've been very nice, always took my phone calls, always there when I asked a question. I'm not against the MOD, I'm not against change. I'm against the scale. I don't know if what you propose now is just less acreage with the same thing as it's not spread out, or if it's just repackaged. I really have to look at this because I didn't see this.

But I think that we can go forward, we can go forward as a town and as a group of people, but we have to go forward smart and intelligent and somewhere comes out a winner. The town comes out a winner, the developers come out a winner and the system comes out a winner. Thank you.

DR. BECKER: Thank you, Sal. [applause]
MS. SHATZKIN: John Cooney, Jr.

MR. JOHN COONEY, JR.: Good evening, my

name is John Cooney, Jr. I live at 45 Hudson View

2.3

name is John Cooney, Jr. I live at 45 Hudson View Way in Tarrytown, but represent the Construction Industry Council, which is headquartered at 629

6 Old White Plains Road in Tarrytown as well.

Good evening, Supervisor Becker and town board members. I thank you for the opportunity to contribute to this important public hearing on the town of Cortlandt Medical Oriented District.

I serve as the executive director of the

Construction Industry Council of Westchester and the Hudson Valley. The CIC acts as a collective bargaining agent for some 600 employers in the construction industry as well as hundreds of suppliers throughout the Westchester County and Hudson Valley area of New York State.

I am here in full support of the proposed medical oriented district, along with the Evergreen Manor proposal. The Construction Industry Council has been following the MOD process closely and while I have not read the entire FEIS, I am familiar with the Evergreen Manor proposal and much of the FEIS.

I believe Evergreen Manor is a wonderful opportunity for the town. It will generate jobs here in Cortlandt, both construction and permanent. It will generate substantial revenues in the form of taxes for the town and school district so much more than the existing Evergreen property generates today. It will allow people to age in place, with rental apartments, age restricted condominium townhomes, independent living units and assisted living units.

The developers will implement much

The developers will implement much needed traffic mitigation and will spend a projected 3.5 million in traffic improvements. And understand that these traffic improvements just are not mitigating the impacts of this project, they are mitigating issues that have existed along the corridor well before this project was ever proposed.

Developers will implement much needed sewer infrastructure improvements also. And these again, are over and above what the demand of the project itself will put on them.

The Evergreen proposal is consistent

2.3

with the town's Envision Cortlandt master plan.

There is little or no impact on the schools,

which have been declining in enrollment

consistently over the last few years, as well as

many other school districts in the region.

The Evergreen project will generate 75 permanent jobs, primarily in the assisted living facility and it will generate 500 temporary construction jobs during the four to five year building period. The as-is current tax revenue to the Lakeland Schools District is \$42,000 annually from the property. The projected school tax revenue will be approximately 1.625 million annually.

I will admit that as I stand here, I am not a resident of the town of Cortlandt. But I believe I speak for a larger community, obviously construction oriented, but I believe that the town in entertaining the MOD is thinking about the community as a whole, the larger community and that the MOD itself obviously leverages the location of the healthcare that New York Presbyterian Hospital that is there as well.

2.3

The vision of the MOD will allow the town to leverage and expand its stock of workforce housing, healthcare facilities, senior living, while at the same time expanding its tax base. Further, the applicant via its construction, has acted responsibly in all concerns regarding the extensive review for this project.

I believe the town should approve the MOD proposal with no further delay which will allow an extremely beneficial project for the residents of the town of Cortlandt to move forward. I truly thank you for your allowing me the time to speak here this evening and really truly do support the MOD proposal as is. Thank you very, very much, thank you all. [applause]

MS. SHATZKIN: Beth and Dave Shea. I do just also want to remind everyone that if you're not -- if you don't feel comfortable speaking tonight, you can submit comments to me in writing for the next 20 days. There are some papers at the back of the room, which have the e-mail address MOD@TownofCortlandt.com and if you're old

2.3

fashioned, you can send me a letter. Either way, those comments will also be submitted as part of the official public record.

MR. DAVE SHEA: Hi, everyone Dave Shay at 277 Lafayette Avenue. I'm here actually in support of the project. But my concern is Lafayette Avenue right now. It's starting to become a highway and I know at some point, our neighbors have put in a petition to maybe have a few stop signs or put in on the road just to slow down the traffic. And I mean I think it's necessary at this point without the MOD being put in. But I've been living on Lafayette for 26 years. My wife grew up in the town. Her father has lived in the town for 71 years, no sorry, 81 years. So he's seen a lot of change.

Thank god, he's healthy right now. But you know, being selfish, I would love to have him, if he's needed, to be put into a facility like this where he can have all his needs met, doctors nearby, we're nearby. We've had other relatives who live in Maryland who have had this supportive housing and it worked out great. And

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

it was a blessing for them, and when our uncle passed away, the aunt was able to move to, because she was able to take care of herself, they moved her to a bigger unit where she could take care of her own self. She didn't need all the doctors' assistance, so.

You know, just thinking down the road how lucky we are that we have land right near the hospital and how people would just benefit to have these two projects at the same time being developed, I think it's such a worthwhile investment in our future. And I agree with our kids are obviously, but some of our parents, so. And we're all going to get older, we're all still going to need this place to be and, you know, the traffic, you know, obviously it's a huge part of everyone's concern on 202. And hopefully what you're saying will be true. Hopefully maybe the state can get more involved and open up a little bit to turning lanes and things like that so traffic would be a lot better.

Obviously, we'll have to see if that would really work, but I hope everybody can just

2.3

think about our future and where our town will be in 20 years and where the progress is going to be and what we need for our community. I hope the town board really thinks seriously about this.

And I appreciate it. [applause]

MS. SHATZKIN: Dr. Frank Dorsa.

DR. FRANK DORSA: Thank you for allowing me to speak. I won't be able to speak as eloquently as some of my predecessors and I don't have any prepared things to speak about but I'm just going to speak extemporaneously. I've been concerned about the size of the project since the beginning and at this point, I'm a little satisfied that some of the scope of the project has been reduced but I still don't buy it.

I'm very concerned about the traffic and I've been in practice here, it'll be 30 years at the end of this year, and I've been a resident here for 28 years. So I'm able to look back and I see the increase in density, I see the traffic. Somebody just spoke before about the speeding on Lafayette. I actually spoke to Linda Puglisi about this last year. And, but I just don't

2.3

believe that any modifications could slow down the traffic or help alleviate the large increase in traffic that would occur with this.

I want to speak about a book I read many years ago. It's probably my favorite book I've ever read in my entire life. It's called The Power Broker. It's about Robert Moses, written by Robert Caro. He won a Pulitzer Prize for it. And so everybody knows about Robert Moses. He kept building, building and building. He built highways, parkways, he also built a lot of parks, which is to his credit.

But each time he would build a highway, the traffic would increase. So then the response always would be that we'll continue to build more lanes. Take, for example, the LIE. So his answer would be that if we continue to increase and widen the road, the traffic will go down, but in actuality, all of his expansions led to more traffic.

So I use that as an example in terms of 202. I just do not believe one bit that any of these modifications would ease the burden. And

2.3

there would be a huge amount of traffic. And I'm looking back to the time I've spent here and it's just, it's very obvious the traffic has increased tremendously. I can't give you an exact amount or percentage, but it's so obvious. If one is driving on 202 in a westerly direction in the evening around 3:00 o'clock, 3:30, 4:00 o'clock, it's backed up now for miles. And a lot of people, including ourselves will sometimes make a detour. So, I'm very concerned about this and for that reason, I at this point oppose this project. [applause]

MS. SHATZKIN: Mr. Warren Smith.

MR. WARREN SMITH: Hi, I'm Warren Smith.

I'm from 203 Ninth Street in Verplanck. I just
have a couple of comments. I can't really cover
much more than what's already been covered here.

Stacy pretty much hit all my points. Tom, also.

But one thing I kind of feel like is this project
from the beginning, labeling this the MOD and
making this medically orientated district, I kind
of remember I think in one of our joint sessions,
Frank, Councilman Farrell mentioned what does

2.3

this have to do with medical anymore? There's no longer, New York Presbyterian is not involved, I'm not quite sure how many medical offices, how many more we're going to get than what's there already at 185. Is there a percentage — is it really a medically orientated district or is it really a residential district? Is that what we're really doing is a subdivision?

And that's sort of I think where some of the public outcry is coming from is that it's sold one way as this is going to be full of doctors and x-ray rooms and now we're going into from what I can see, more than 400 bedrooms, which in 400 bedrooms, you put people and families that are going to cause congestion as opposed to people that are coming in and out of their doctor visits.

So, let me just see. I was looking at the SEQR, the steps, I think there's 12 of those. And I think, are we on right now, is it 11A, or is it -- where are we in the SEQRA process at this point?

DR. BECKER: Well, what the board

1 May 2, 2022 2 elected to do is to have a public hearing on the FEIS which is not a required step, so perhaps 3 4 11A. 5 MR. SMITH: Yeah, so we're at 11A. And if major changes were made, if, I'm not quite 6 7 sure if they were, but as Mr. Walsh was saying earlier, if there were changes made to the 8 9 original proposal, I would hate -- I was just 10 talking to the gentleman from Gyrodyne outside 11 and do you have to go back, or all of these 12 mitigations valid if you're now changing the 13 original proposal, or is it something that you 14 could just go forward --15 MR. WOOD: Well, you mean if there are 16 more changes after this? 17 MR. SMITH: Well, the number of 18 bedrooms, square footage, those --19 MR. WOOD: If anything is reduced, then 20 it would not normally engender an additional 21 proceeding. If you change the project in other 22 ways, then you would have to do a supplemental

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

MR. SMITH: Yeah, but what my

FEIS which is --

2.3

24

2.3

understanding was that we went, that the square footage, was that changed to be unlimited now or not? It went from 200 square foot --

MR. WOOD: It's not unlimited. I don't know if you were in the room when I explained it, but in the draft of the MOD zoning ordinance, it has minimum square footage requirements for studios, one-bedroom, two-bedroom. And if you take that and do the analysis based on the lot coverage, building height, setback requirements, etc., you come into a finite number that would be able to [unintelligible] [01:39:14].

MR. SMITH: But you can come up with more bedrooms than 400?

MR. WOOD: Well, as I said before, if you -- there were 400 in the original proposal. If you went to the Gyrodyne alternate proposal, it would increase the bedroom count but if you didn't do that, it would not.

MR. SMITH: Mm-hmm. So has there been limits removed at this point or not really?

MR. WOOD: No. They're not, they're expressed in a different manner than when you do

2.3

an overlay district as opposed to a mapped district.

MR. SMITH: Okay. So we're at 11A, and at this point, the next step from this would be - would they go back and make more --

MR. WOOD: As we explained, as we explained earlier, what happens from --

MR. SMITH: Excuse me, I was outside in the peanut gallery. I'm sorry, I might have missed the whole thing.

MR. WOOD: Well, I thought the TV would carry it, but what would happen is after the public hearing tonight and the public comment period, and all that data is assembled, any response etc. needed is put together. The town board's next step would be to discuss it at open public work sessions amongst the board itself and they would have to deliberate and put together a findings statement under SEQRA which I think is step 12.

MR. SMITH: Thank you very much. I just want to come out and just say for me, I live in Verplanck, I don't live in, I don't live up in

2.3

this neck of the woods, but my town itself, I would like to make sure that we keep the fabric the way it is right now, which is I'm not for high density rental properties. I kind of like renting from my aunt and uncle and I kind of like having the small town feel as opposed to Amberlands Apartments. That's basically my look at it, so thank you. [applause]

MS. SHATZKIN: John Quartucio? John Quartucio? No? Okay. Terin Fitzgerald?

MS. TERIN FITZGERALD: Good evening, Dr. Becker, board members. I hope that you hear us. I hope that you feel us. My neighbors have said everything I wanted to say this evening. However something happened this evening that brings me to the podium. I understand the reason that the construction workers union were here this evening. I really don't understand, but I kind of understand them wanting work.

But I don't think that you or we should be entertaining their reason for us -- so although I understand the reason that the construction workers union was here this evening,

2.3

I don't think that you or we should let them dictate what we should do about this MOD.

[applause] Of course they want us to accept the proposal, actually you to accept the proposal.

But we would like the MOD scaled back.

I was convinced by so many people that I spoke with in the community that we kind of don't want to stop the MOD. We kind of want to scale it back. I think that they're here to make the money and run. And that's not what our community is about. So I hope that you hear us, but I hope that you feel us. Thank you for your time.

[applause]

MS. SHATZKIN: Margaret Mahoney.

MS. MARGARET MAHONEY: Good evening and thank you for this opportunity. Although I came here not expecting to speak, nor am I prepared to speak, I felt impelled to speak. As I was in the back and a man recently moved here to New Jersey and was explaining his situation and the heckling in the back, well move back to Jersey, we are Cortlandt residents. We represent what we want in our town. When I go to a local board meeting, you

1	May 2, 2022
2	need to be part of the Lakeland School District
3	or the Hen Hud School District in order to speak.
4	This is about us. Thank you. [applause]
5	DR. BECKER: You know, I'm sorry if you
6	heard people heckling. It certainly didn't come
7	from this end of the room, and in fact I
8	commented that I support and oppose, I opposed
9	light pollution.
10	MS. MAHONEY: I was standing in the back
11	if that helps you.
12	DR. BECKER: No, I'm just saying, I
13	know, but I'm just saying that I can't control or
14	this board can't control public comment, but I
15	did say I support light pollution control as an
16	amateur astronomer. And so I support what he said
17	about dark skies, that they're important. I just
18	want to
19	MS. MAHONEY: He has a right to live
20	here.
21	DR. BECKER: I'm sorry?
22	MS. MAHONEY: And he has a right to live
23	here.
24	DR. BECKER: He has a right to live here

1	Page 88
1	May 2, 2022
2	and everyone has a right to speak, you know.
3	We're trying very hard to just listen to you guys
4	and, because we're part of the community too. And
5	Robert Mayes, one of the board members, was a
6	former school board member in Lakeland up until
7	recently.
8	MS. MAHONEY: I know.
9	DR. BECKER: Yeah, so, you know.
10	[applause]
11	MR. MAYES: Thank you.
12	DR. BECKER: So, you know, so we're
13	sensitive to the issues. We have a very diverse
14	board, and you know, as far as the comment that
15	was made earlier about the unions, we have an
13	was made earlier about the unitons, we have an
16	open meeting. People can come. We didn't invite
17	them. They're here. You know, so
18	MS. FITZGERALD: Somebody did invite
19	them.
20	DR. BECKER: I'm sorry?
21	MS. FITZGERALD: They were invited.
22	DR. BECKER: Not by us.
23	MS. FITZGERALD: I understand that. I
24	appreciate that. I understand that, but they were

1	May 2, 2022
2	invited. I was told that someone [unintelligible]
3	[01:45:45].
4	DR. BECKER: Yeah. I assume that the
5	developers called them. And, you know, people
6	call their friends. But that was not at the
7	initiation of anyone in government. SO we have
8	more speakers?
9	MS. SHATZKIN: Okay. So, we will now
10	we have completed the speakers who are present in
11	the room. We'll now move to the Zoom portion.
12	Everyone here will be able to see the people who
13	are speaking up on the screens as if they are
14	with us, thank you to the COVID epidemic and this
15	new modern technology that we're using. So if you
16	are watching would you like to speak, sir?
17	MR. JOHN QUARTUCIO: I signed in. I'm
18	not sure if you called me or not, John Quartucio?
19	MS. SHATZKIN: Oh, I'm sorry, I did, you
20	weren't here.
21	MR. QUARTUCIO: Did you call? I'm sorry.
22	MS. SHATZKIN: Okay.
23	MR. QUARTUCIO: I might have been
24	outside for a minute.

2

1

MS. SHATZKIN: Yes, go ahead, yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. QUARTUCIO: Thank you. Good evening, Supervisor Becker and the members of the board. My name is John Quartucio. I live at 9 Blake Lane in Cortlandt Manor. It's about a mile from the hospital. I've lived here most of my adult life, raised my family here with my wife. And I did address the board in June of 2020, expressing my support for the Evergreen proposal.

I followed the progress of the district throughout the proceedings. And I have listened to many of the comments and I agree with many of them. I understand the concerns expressed throughout the process. I think the MOD is an important component of the sustainability strategy of the town outline. And I think it started back in '74, and MOD is one of the four strategies outlined in the Envision Cortlandt comprehensive plan, which was finalized I believe in February of 2016 as a means to revitalize and expand the town's economic development in the area around the Presbyterian Hospital and the 202 corridor, 35 corridor.

The master plan has a long history. It's been reviewed by several town boards, by our professional staff, outside government bodies, consultants, which included importantly the traffic study, which I think was available in 2020 and I think there was a presentation before the board in that Zoom meeting.

Most importantly, the plan over time has been developed. The town's been attentive to the concerns expressed by the people that live here and have always taken those concerns into consideration. The MOD has the hospital at its hub, along with a well established network of highly qualified and dedicated local healthcare professionals, some of which are my friends, some are my neighbors, many of whom I know.

And I think the plan over time will likely expand the medical services and resources available to our residents and to our healthcare providers and will hopefully continue to provide a comprehensive care program meeting the challenges and medical needs of our residents in both emergency situations as well in short term

2.3

2 and long term care.

We all have families, we all have friends and we're all familiar with the difficulties, the horror stories and the challenges that are presented when we have to travel or people have to travel into the city to get care when the resources needed are not here. I think that this plan will make many of those resources, the diagnostics and things that are necessary available locally.

And as we know, as we age and we have parents and older family members, it's very difficult for seniors to travel into the city to seek necessary medical care, rehabilitative, pharmaceutical services, medical services. And I think this plan takes that into consideration and provides housing and assisted living facilities close to their medical providers and the hospital.

The hospital has greatly improved the time that I've lived here, over the past 30 or so years, and it's a great benefit the people that live here and may need emergency care and

2.3

2 palliative care over time.

The proposal, I feel, by Evergreen is consistent with the comprehensive master plan that's been developed over several decades and it includes housing options that allow for, you know, quoting that master plan, the continuation of care and allowing people to age in place. I've read the MOD, the master plan a few times, and that's always one of the things that's always pushed, that they want people to continue to be able to live here in the town and get the care that they needed.

I think that if the Evergreen proposal is approved, it will provide quality assisted living that's in proximity to the hospital and I think it's always one of the things that's contemplated by the master plan. The town, the master plan contemplates a wide array of housing types to support the hospital, people that need to be close to the medical facilities and the larger community at heart, which includes the larger community, rental apartments, condominiums, townhouse living. You know, for

2.3

residents that want to stay in Cortlandt and maybe downsizing or seeking to sell their homes and move into some other lifestyle as they age, as they get older. I think that that lifestyle is consistent with our town values and will and may become available with the economics with the continued healthy growth of our community. The Evergreen proposal -- I'm sorry to be repetitive. I know you've heard a lot of this tonight, and I know you've heard a lot of it over time. And I did make an earlier presentation a few years ago to the board.

But I think these things are really important for people to see. I think that the proposal balances the economic growth expansion of services in the community. I think that I remember at that earlier meeting, I think the town's traffic consultant was present and there was an extensive survey done and I think it addressed a lot of the concerns I've heard about the traffic on 202. Again, I've lived here 30 years. I commute into White Plains very frequently and, you know, across Bear Mountain,

2.3

across the river into Rockland, so I'm familiar with the traffic and the traffic patterns.

But it's my understanding that any approval would be contingent upon extensive roadway improvements, sequencing of the lights. I think turning lanes at Lafayette and I think up by the Bear Mountain where it's very difficult to make a left-hand turn now. I think these are costs I don't know if the town can afford, but obviously, with the plan if it's approved, the developer is going to be responsible for making those payments and taking care of those expenses.

I also listened to the unions tonight. I understand that we're a blue collar community to a large part. Some of the jobs have been lost through this Indian Point problem that we're experiencing. I think a lot of those people would find good jobs as a result of the approval of this project.

I think that, I heard tonight also and I think saw on something earlier, information was that the developer also has to make significant improvements to the sewer infrastructure. That's

2.3

expensive work. I don't know if the town can afford that, but obviously if the plan is contingent, the contractor or the developer will have to bear the cost of those expenses also.

I think the district will benefit,
existing businesses, it will likely stimulate
growth, contributing to the economic health of
the community. I think it'll provide jobs. I've
heard the union people talk about 500
construction jobs for four or five years. That's
a lot of jobs. And I think that putting these
other facilities in place will lead to permanent
other jobs. I think I heard 75 or whatever was
one of the projections.

I think the important thing too that someone else made too earlier is that there would be significant increases in tax revenues to both the town and to the school districts.

The MOD as we have heard over and over again is part of the, it was one of the four strategies contemplated in the Envision Cortlandt designed to meet our present needs as well as the needs of the future generations. And I think it

2.3

will improve the quality of life for people that live here and have lived here and will continue to live here over time. I think it'll have a significant increase in our property values. I think that obviously the tax saving is a benefit to all of us.

A lot of this stuff that I wanted to talk about tonight has already been covered. I don't want to be repetitious. The only thing I'll say too and I've heard it echo from a few other people. I've known the Santucci family for 35 years. I think that I've seen them do things in the town. I think that they always have had the best interest of the town at heart and I think they will continue to act in the best interest of the community.

DR. BECKER: Thank you, Mr. Quartucio.

MR. QUARTUCIO: I think that's about it.

I appreciate your time and I know you've heard a

lot of it, but I think it was important for me to

be here tonight just to express my views.

DR. BECKER: I'm glad you came and made your comments. Thank you very much.

1	May 2, 2022
2	MR. QUARTUCIO: Thank you. [applause]
3	DR. BECKER: Madam Clerk, before we go
4	on to the online people, can I just take a breath
5	and how many people are in line?
6	MS. SHATZKIN: We currently have 49
7	attendees. I'm not sure how many want to speak.
8	DR. BECKER: And do we know how many
9	want to speak? I just want to give the audience -
10	-
11	MS. SHATZKIN: If you would like to
12	speak and you're currently on Zoom, please raise
13	your hand. So far there's only one.
14	DR. BECKER: Okay.
15	MS. SHATZKIN: Oh, no, sorry
16	DR. BECKER: I just wanted to be able to
17	give the audience an idea where we are and at the
18	end I'll try to summarize again what we've heard
19	and how we proceed, so, we'll move on. Thank you,
20	Madam Clerk.
21	MS. SHATZKIN: Okay. That number has
22	increased to three. Dominick, I'm going to admit
23	you to the meeting.
24	MR. DOMINICK CASSANELLI: Good evening,

2 everyone.

2.3

MS. SHATZKIN: Hi, Dominick, can you please state your name and address for the record?

MR. CASSANELLI: Sure, Dominick
Cassanelli, 28 Woods End Lane, Hartsdale, New
York.

MS. SHATZKIN: Thank you.

MR. CASSANELLI: You're welcome. Thank you to the board for allowing me to take a second to speak. I really appreciate it and thank you for taking the time to hear from organized labor as a whole. It's a democratic process and I understand you hear from the constituents and from organized labor because as one person said, we're going to take our money and run. The only reason organized labor is here is because of the members we represent in Cortlandt, which are a plethora, many of whom are too nervous to come speak as many of the public is too nervous to come speak because they don't like to speak publicly.

But you know what, we're here to

2.3

represent those members that do live in the community and take the time to invest in that community. I would be here for no other reason but that. I don't go to New Jersey, I don't go to Connecticut to speak at board meetings because we don't represent the people in that community. Here, we do.

while I'm not familiar with the final environmental impact study in its entirety, I am familiar with part of the proposal and most of the proposal and how it would improve and create good paying jobs for those local residents that we do represent, jobs which pay an [unintelligible] [01:58:15] standard wage and benefit package, which in turn helps the local tax base, tax payers and the community.

It's not something, you know, that doesn't do that. It regenerates all that money back into the community. So not only will the project generate many good paying constructions jobs, 500 of them from all the estimates we're hearing, it will create a pathway for some of these Cortlandt residents, you know, just getting

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

out of school who have no place to go maybe don't want to go to college, to allow them a job in the community in which they live, give them some pride.

And that's what we're looking to do. We're looking to help the local community on these projects. We're not looking to hurt anybody. And again, there's pros and cons to everything. I understand that. I think everybody understands that. But you know what, we're here to show you what the pros can be for the community and that's why organized labor is speaking. The old adage, the more you make the more you spend couldn't be more true in this case. If you give people good paying jobs like the ones that we represent in the community, they're going to reinvest that money back into the community, like I said before, helping that tax burden for the local residents.

So for me and for my members, of
Teamsters Local 456, and many of the building
trades, which I am a trustee on, it's a win-win
for all and it's an opportunity for organized

2.3

labor to start working in the community in which they live, so I just want to thank you for a long night on all your parts and thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak again. I really appreciate it.

DR. BECKER: Thank you.

MS. SHATZKIN: Thank you so much, Mr.

Cassanelli. [applause] Patrick, I am going to

promote you to a panelist. Patrick, you've joined

the meeting, if you could unmute yourself.

MR. PATRICK THERRIEN: Alright. I'm a long time resident of the community here. I've been up here since '89 so over 30 years, raised a family, all the schools we've been involved with, Cortlandt Manor schools, Santucci built my homeowner's association at Conklin Park. We've had difficulties over the years, but they did a really good job.

MS. SHATZKIN: I'm so sorry, Patrick.

Can you please state your full name and address
for the record?

MR. THERRIEN: Sure, Patrick Therrien,
2D Adrian Court, Courtlandt Manor, New York. I'm

2.3

a Cortlandt Homeowners Association person here, right behind the hospital. We've had our difficulties over the years. Santucci built our development. It's been kind of wonderful living here because we live on a cul-de-sac and we've had a community basically that we live in watch over our children.

I think this is very interesting that the community is really wanting to regulate what's going on with this development. It seems like it's really way too big for the area.

There's a lot of potential problems. I don't think they're going to be able to improve them too easily and I'd like to just support all those people that spoke tonight. Thank you. [applause]

MS. SHATZKIN: Thank you, Patrick. Ed Soyka, I'm going to promote you to a panelist. Hi, Ed, you've joined the meeting, if you could unmute yourself. In the meantime, if there is anyone else who is attending by Zoom who would like to speak, please raise your hand, in order to create a line.

MR. ED SOYKA: Hello, can you hear me?

2.3

MS. SHATZKIN: Hi, we can hear you, Ed, please state your full name and address for the record.

MR. SOYKA: My name is Ed Soyka. I live at 231 Lafayette Avenue. I've been a resident for over 40 years. I own two and a half acres and a pond that receives essential water flow from Orchard Lake by means of the [unintelligible] [02:03:30]. My over, I have a kind of unique concern, my overriding concern is about this massive Gyrodyne private proposal and its potential negative if not catastrophic effect on the life giving water flow that enables my pond to flourish and support habitat for land and water life forms.

My concern stems from the fact that currently the water flow that leaves my pond year round [unintelligible] [02:04:16] of small volume. The water flow where the waterfall where the water flow leaves my pond is currently only 19 inches wide, for that, that sized lake, 19 inches wide and perhaps a quarter of an inch deep. That's currently after spring rain and a

2 wet winter.

2.3

And my concern of course is that the water flow during the summer is about one-third of that. Water balance is fragile both in Orchard Lake and in my pond. Now, what I'm giving you is not scientific, but what my concern is, is not much water flowing from Orchard Lake to my pond.

I've recently conferred with Michael
Kehoe the director of the department of technical
services and he confirmed that the water that
supplies Orchard Lake is solely derived from rain
absorbed by surrounding wetlands and the
groundwater table. There's no outside source of
water. Therefore, any further disruption of the
lands, of the Gyrodyne property, can drastically
reduce or eliminate essential water to my pond.

At the previous town board meeting, I did request town officials to come and review my situation. Instead, July 21, 2020 three representatives from the developer met with me at my pond. I discussed the situation. I had them view the water flow issue. And I asked them can you guarantee that your development would not

2.3

negatively affect my water flow. And they said that that would be impossible.

So what we're dealing with is a situation where potentially this development can basically make my pond into a lifeless mud hole, excuse the expression, but that's my concern.

According to the developer, there will be no impacts related directly to Orchard Lake.

However, all of these massive buildings as well as the asphalt for roads and parking spaces will certainly disrupt their natural absorption of water into the water table in the wetlands, thus reducing water flow to Orchard Lake and my pond.

According to the developer, the integration of permeable pavements reduces the volume of snow melt products, thereby reducing discharge into Orchard Lake. The whole issue for me is that the development will not increase water flow but significantly decrease it. And with all those parking spaces, hundreds and hundreds and the road, and those massive buildings all pushing down like on a sponge, because that's the issue in that area, it's wet.

2.3

And there is an absorption process that has developed over the years in order to support the size of Orchard Lake, okay. And obviously, that is going to be disrupted in a significant way if there's major development on the scale that we're talking about.

So here's the question. What if the water supply of Orchard Lake and my pond are either significantly diminished or stopped? What can be done to make my pond viable again? That's what I'm looking at. And that has nothing -- a concern I brought it up at two different meetings. Nobody is addressing that, okay. If this development is approved and built, what are the legal consequences and responsibilities of both the town and the developer if this occurs?

Similar issues abound on the Evergreen property, which is even more wetlands. Okay.

Anyway, thank you for listening to my concern. I would like to have some response, because I'm kind of frustrated that I really haven't received too much input over these years. Thank you very much. [applause]

2.3

DR. BECKER: Thank you, Mr. Soyka.

MS. SHATZKIN: Thank you, Mr. Soyka. I'm going to admit Mimi Bermudez to the meeting.

MR. JOE BERMUDEZ: Can I be heard?

MS. SHATZKIN: Yes, you can. Can you please state your full name and address for the record

MR. BERMUDEZ: Joe Bermudez, 245
Buttonwood Avenue.

MS. SHATZKIN: Thank you.

MR. BERMUDEZ: Good evening everyone, and good evening to the panelists. My biggest concern is that we, our side of 202 has been I guess shunned away, or I guess been blindsided by this MOD and not, I guess everybody not realizing the consequences of a big development such as this coming into our side of town.

I know on my side of the road, everybody is affected with a new, there was a development that was built behind my property and everybody on my side of the road gets a massive flooding when it rains or whenever water leeches out from that side of the development, everybody is I

1

May 2, 2022

2

guess flooded.

3

No.

5

J

6

7

8

9

,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

No, my [unintelligible] [02:10:52] is that will the MOD bring -- well, I have to say that the MOD will bring a huge amount of congestion to our area in the roads. Another one would be when it's too many, I quess apartments or developments, what's going to happen when these facilities aren't filled? That's my biggest concern, how the town is going to get revenue. Will the town ship people from different towns to live there? Or maybe the city to try to gain money from the money that they lost, because I'm pretty sure those 450 units or whatever, so that number, how is it going to be filled? Not everybody in the town is going to sell their houses and move over there.

On top of that, you've guys state it's a medical orientated district. We already have the hospital, we already have Caremark, we have numerous urgent cares in the neighborhood. What else -- I mean are we going to have? Those are my issues, and I guess, you know, they haven't been answered. And on top of that, wasting our time,

2.3

you know, coming to this meeting if there's a decision that I'm pretty sure somebody made a decision already to have this going on. Thank you. [applause]

DR. BECKER: Thank you, Mr. Bermudez.

MS. SHATZKIN: Thank you, Mr. Bermudez.

I'm going to admit Kay to the meeting. While Kay
is connecting, again, if you're watching via Zoom
and you would like to speak, please raise your
hand in order to form a line.

MS. KATHY FARINA: Can you hear me?

MS. SHATZKIN: Yes, we can. Can you

please state your full name and address for the
record?

MS. FARINA: My name is Kathy Farina and I live at 3 Northridge Road. I'd just like to say that I'm a realist and I accept that this project will go forward. I beg the developers to imagine that they lived on Buttonwood Avenue or Lafayette, and how would they feel with this scale in their back yard. I know I moved here from the Bronx in 1986. I've raised my children here. I've seen the traffic become a real issue.

2.3

I used to drive to Yorktown in ten minutes. Now,
I have to plan for 45 minutes, depending on the
time of day that I go. There is no way that any
New York State Department of Transportation is
going to make the traffic better. You put all
these people, all these cars, unless you widen
202 you're not going to solve the problem.

So I just ask the developers to downscale what they're doing, consider where they're putting this project. This is a bedroom community. There are not many transient people here. You put in apartments, you're going to have people coming and going, you're going to lower the quality of life in this area. I know you're going to enhance it for some people who have older relatives. I'm all for assisted living, but you cannot do it to the scale you are doing it now. I know the developers have money involved in this and I feel for them. But please consider what you're doing to this area. That's all I ask. Thank you. [applause]

MS. SHATZKIN: Thank you, Kay.

DR. BECKER: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MS. SHATZKIN: At this time, no additional Zoom viewers have requested to talk, but Mr. David McGlean.

MR. DAVID MCGUIRE: McGuire.

MS. SHATZKIN: Oh, McGuire, sorry.

MR. MCGUIRE: I'm just going to go over a couple of quick things that we probably already kind of covered, is that all this traffic problem is kind of cumulative, no matter what projects we do. And the project with the Peekskill Veterinarian Hospital, with the old Citron property, if we don't know what's going to go there, it's going to have to greatly affect how much we can expand to these projects. And if we don't know that, and it's going to be a MOD project, MOD, and that's going to be medical use, the residential maybe has to be turned down less if we're going to be expanding the other properties. But if we expand this to the maximum ability, other developers can't develop their property as much, capacity wise.

I would also maybe suggest that I wrote a letter to a lot of people in different towns

2.3

for a way to really improve it with the local infrastructure dollars that may come federal is that maybe Peekskill, Cortlandt and Yorktown get together a commission to somehow get the state to really make a substantial upgrade to 202, which that would help all the developers along the entire property.

And the final thing I just want to add is that we have two different developments going on here. I know the Santucci family is local, but as far as the Gyrodyne, this is projects that's not from here, so I want to read something from their website.

The company does not interest in the developing the properties, but rather to commit resources to position the property for the maximum sale and entitlement necessary to achieve preconstruction sales. So in other words, they, I read this last time. They plan on developing the property, getting maximum approval and then not developing it and selling it. And they're certainly not from here. So whatever decision we make, I think that should be part of our decision

2.3

process. Alright. Thank you very much. [applause]

DR. BECKER: Thank you, Mr. McGuire.

MS. SHATZKIN: Thank you. At this time, we have no one further who has requested to speak.

DR. BECKER: Okay, anyone else from the audience or at home? Okay, first of all, let me thank everyone for coming out. This is American democracy at its best. This is what it's all about. And your comments are noted. Before people leave, let me just say — let me just get a show of hands, how many are on the Buttonwood side of the property? Okay. And how much are on the other side, Tamarack? Good. Okay.

You know, we listened to your comments and we all agreed tonight that we were not really going to respond because we wanted to digest it and be fair and not kneejerk response. So I can't tell you any final answer. That's not what tonight was about. It was about a public hearing, so that we can hear from you. I can just speak as an individual, having been through this for seven years, that there are parts of it that, you know,

I think are advantageous to the MOD, there are parts that I think are too big and inappropriate.

And I think there are also siting issues and environmental issues. Along the Buttonwood side, I would like the MOD area reduced so that it doesn't go anywhere near the pond that's there, because there are a whole bunch of homes on that side of the street that back right up to the pond and I want to make sure that we protect that pond. That's an important wetland and waterway and just very important for the community.

And on the Tamarack side I'm concerned a little bit about the height of the buildings, the number of stories and the fact that the property slopes up. So one of the things that we should look at is reducing the height of the building but also maybe flipping the assisted living and the townhouses, so that it doesn't spoil the view shed from Tamarack. I'd love the people in Tamarack not to even know that this thing exists, so that's one thing, you know, maybe less density, lower height and further away from

2.3

May 2, 2022

Tamarack, and on the other side that nothing will impact the pond.

And also as far as Gyrodyne is concerned, as far as I'm concerned, and especially what the last speaker said, I feel like I don't want them to do anything else besides medical there. Let them build their medical building. It is an older building. I practiced there for 15 odd years, as I said. It definitely needs to be upgraded, they call it Class C and it is, because no one practices the way we did in those days. When I opened up, I had my own office, my own waiting room. Now, we have ten doctors practicing together with one waiting room, multiple smaller exam rooms. It just doesn't work.

So that building needs to be reenvisioned. But I don't see them going as big as they are. I think they proposed as much as up to 180,000 square feet. I would like to cap the whole thing around 100, so that's where I am. So much smaller, less tall, no retail there, no residential on the Gyrodyne, that's where I am. I

2.3

haven't spoken to the board yet, so this is one individual's perspective.

And on the other side, as I said, I want to make sure that we have the appropriate density, lower height, moving it away from Tamarack, flipping those buildings and then seeing where we're at. And so that's just from a starting point.

As I said, so right now, we'll take all your comments, and there's 20 more days for comments to come in. The public hearing will be closed tonight. We'll take those comments and we'll digest them. It's going to take a period of time, because we take these comments and we just don't discuss, we refer it out to our various consultants and get responses back. And then we will schedule a public work session, I want to make sure I get the verbiage right, public work session.

You'll all be invited. We'll make sure the letters go out broadly to the community. I don't know if to all 13,000, but certainly a lot more than 350. So we'll make sure that anyone who

1	May 2, 2022
2	could possibly be impacted even to the least to
3	traverse that area will get notified and you're
4	all welcome to come and see how we figure it out
5	together. Okay, is that good for everyone? Okay.
6	Thank you all for coming out. [applause] Oh, may
7	I have a motion do we have to close the
8	meeting or the hearing? Both. Okay. Hang on. May
9	I have a motion to close the public hearing?
10	MR. FARRELL: So moved.
11	MS. JACOBY: So moved.
12	DR. BECKER: Seconded too by Cristin.
13	All in favor?
14	MULTIPLE: Aye.
15	DR. BECKER: Unanimous. And then a
15 16	DR. BECKER: Unanimous. And then a motion to close the meeting tonight, adjourn the
16	motion to close the meeting tonight, adjourn the
16 17	motion to close the meeting tonight, adjourn the meeting.
16 17 18	motion to close the meeting tonight, adjourn the meeting. MR. CREIGHTON: So moved.
16 17 18 19	motion to close the meeting tonight, adjourn the meeting. MR. CREIGHTON: So moved. MR. FARRELL: Second.
16 17 18 19 20	motion to close the meeting tonight, adjourn the meeting. MR. CREIGHTON: So moved. MR. FARRELL: Second. DR. BECKER: All in favor?
16 17 18 19 20 21	motion to close the meeting tonight, adjourn the meeting. MR. CREIGHTON: So moved. MR. FARRELL: Second. DR. BECKER: All in favor? MULTIPLE: Aye.

1	May 2, 2022	Page	119
2	9:25 p.m.)		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
	Geneva Worldwide, Inc.		
	Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38 th Street, 10 th Floor, New York, NY 10018		

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Briseida Amparan, certify that the foregoing transcript of the board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on May 2, 2022 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Be sida Kapapa

Date: May 20, 2022

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018