TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

ZONING BOARD MEETING

Town Hall, 1 Heady Street

Cortlandt Manor, New York 10567

November 17, 2021

7:00 p.m. - 7:20 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Wai Man Chin, Member

Frank Franco, Member

Eileen Henry, Member

Adrian C. Hunte, Member

Cristin Jacoby, Member

Thomas Walsh, Member

Chris Beloff, Alternate

Chris Kehoe, Deputy Director, Planning Joshua Subin, Assistant Town Attorney

1	November 17, 2021
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MR. WAI MAN CHIN: Welcome to the
4	November meeting of the zoning board of appeals.
5	Our first agenda will be to pledge allegiance to
6	the flag.
7	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
8	flag of the United States of America, and to the
9	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
10	God, indivisible with liberty and justice for
11	all.
12	MR. CHIN: May I have a roll call?
13	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Mr. Beloff?
14	MR. CHRIS BELOFF: Here.
15	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Franco?
16	MR. FRANK FRANCO: Here.
17	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Martinez?
18	MR. BENITO MARTINEZ: Here.
19	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Chin?
20	MR. CHIN: Here.
21	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Jacoby?
22	MS. CRISTIN JACOBY: Here.
23	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Walsh?
24	MR. THOMAS WALSH: Here.

1	November 17, 2021
2	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Hunte?
3	MS. ADRIAN C. HUNTE: Here.
4	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Subin?
5	MR. JOSHUA SUBIN: Here.
6	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Douglas noted as absent.
7	MR. SUBIN: Wai, if you recall, because
8	of the statute, you had to bring Chris into the
9	regular dais.
10	MR. CHIN: Oh, okay. We have Chris
11	Beloff as alternate member to take over for David
12	Douglas who is not here tonight, and that would
13	be Chris over here, sitting down to my right,
14	lower right. Okay. First new case is 2021-12,
15	application of Mark Mendelson.
16	MR. FRANCO: Do we want to adopt the
17	minutes first?
18	MR. CHIN: Oh, yeah, we can do that, oh,
19	you're right.
20	MR. KEHOE: It's a pressure meeting.
21	MR. CHIN: It's been a while. I make a
22	motion to adopt the minutes of the meeting for
23	our September 22nd meeting of 2021.
24	MR. WALSH: So moved.

1	November 17, 2021
2	MR. CHIN: Second, did I hear a second?
3	MR. FRANCO: Second.
4	MR. CHIN: All approved?
5	MULTIPLE: Aye.
6	MR. CHIN: Okay. Minutes are adopted.
7	Okay, new public hearing is on 2021-12
8	application for Mark Mendelson, I believe that's
9	your case, Mr. Franco?
10	MR. FRANCO: Yeah, for, so for case
11	2021-12, before I say anything, do you want to
12	present us your thoughts on the case and then
13	we'll take it from there.
14	MR. MARK MENDELSON: The whole thing,
15	start to finish?
16	MR. FRANCO: Well, as much as you want
17	to tell us.
18	MR. KEHOE: Just explain your case,
19	introduce yourself and then explain.
20	MR. MENDELSON: Generally the most
21	helpful thing is the five factors.
22	MR. MENDELSON: Okay. Good evening. My
23	name is Mark Mendelson. I'm the owner of 12
24	Douglas Mowbray Road in Cortlandt Manor, New

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

York. Earlier this year, in the springtime, I wanted to purchase a larger shed than what I currently had, which was an 8x12. I came to town hall, filed for a permit. At the time I filed for a permit, I advised them that I had an 8x12 shed already on the property that I would be relocating in the back yard to another area. They had given me the paperwork. During the process, I spoke to Mr. Rogers on two occasions and the only thing he advised me that I had to keep it six feet off the neighbor's property line and ten feet from my septic. So I found the most level spot in my back yard and I had to take a tree down, which was in the way, I removed the tree, I poured a cement slab for this existing shed I had already to move it, to be located onto at a cost of \$1,700 for the cement slab and 1,500 to remove that tree, moved the shed. I didn't move it, I had the manufacturer who came to deliver my new shed once the permit was issued, they moved the shed for me at a cost of \$150.

Then, when Mr. Rogers, I believe, is the one who came back to inspect the property for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

final inspection to close out the permit, he got in touch with me and said you can't put the shed in the location you put it at. I have, he says it has to be 12 feet off of roadway side property line, which I was never advised. I had looked online. Even on the town's website. The only mention they make is any accessory building under 100 square feet, that's not for a special use as food preparation, etc., it does not need a permit and there is absolutely no guidelines. So without, and I asked him, well, how does anybody know this, and he said, well most people call the office and ask. I said I talked to you twice and you never related anything about the street side and I have about 100 feet of roadside property line in my back yard.

So there was no reasonable way for me to know this. I already spent about over 4,000 to relocate a shed that I paid 5,000 for about seven years ago. And I was trying to follow, you know, rules and stay within zoning laws and that's why I went and got a permit, and there was just no way for me to know this on my own. And looking at

2.3

November 17, 2021

the town's website, there's no classification of zoning rules for a shed under 100 square feet as to where you can put it, where you can't put it. And that's why I'm here.

MR. FRANCO: Right. But the one question
I had is even in your write-up, you mention it
could have been six feet from a neighbor, so I
was just curious why you thought three feet was
okay.

MR. MENDELSON: Nobody mentioned a roadside. I figured he would have known my property --

MR. FRANCO: So you were considering the roadside different from a neighbor?

MR. MENDELSON: Right. That you don't have a neighbor's property next to you. And I drive around, I drove around the town and I see dozens of sheds in places probably where they don't belong, and nobody knows and nobody says anything. And there's no guideline for anybody to know because it's not online and you don't need a permit for it.

MR. FRANCO: Right. I guess, you know,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

when we reviewed this and we looked at the five factors, one thing, the aesthetics to the neighborhood, being you did have a fence going across, you know, bordering the road, and enclosing the shed, we felt that that made the aesthetics better. So one thing about the shed is, you know, if we did potentially approve it, I think we would say that the fence has to stay, because even if the fence was down, I think it would be more out there, and even if it was set back further at this point from the fence, I think it would almost show more, being you're on the corner lot to begin with. So those were my thoughts about it. Does anybody else on the board have any comments on the shed?

MR. WALSH: I know we discussed on Monday night also that we write up the DNO if it's approved just so the shed, the approval would be just for the shed, not for any future shed, not rebuilding, you know, if the approval were granted, it would just be for this one, it could never be replaced, you'd have to back through and request another variance --

2.3

MR. KEHOE: Right, I guess that would be if a tree fell on it --

MR. WALSH: Right.

MR. KEHOE: -- you couldn't just put it back in the same place. You'd have to make it compliant.

MR. MENDELSON: Right.

MR. KEHOE: Okay.

MS. HUNTE: I would just say regarding the five factors, that I don't see any undesirable change to be produced in the character of the neighborhood. The benefit sought, perhaps you can't achieve this by any other means because of the topography. The requested variance, by percentage, it's large, 75 percent, but by feet measurement, it's just nine feet and it's self-created, and I don't think it's going to have an adverse impact on the environment, or the neighborhood per se.

I will say this though, that there was an amendment apparently to the code in 2020, which did speak to the position or calculation of having, especially when you have two front yards,

2.3

November 17, 2021

that's the other thing, not just the less than

100 square feet. And the other is that by law, we
cannot hold the agency, if there's an error,
based on what the law is, that we have to go by
the law.

MR. SUBIN: Just a note, there might have been a breakdown in communication, but Martin does have some diagrams he drew up after that law was amended and he tries to show people. Maybe we'll, in the future, evaluate putting those online as well. Maybe we'll make it easier for people, but that's something for the town board to consider.

MR. MENDELSON: Or even some bullet statements that just, some, a couple of guidelines of distance, probably about eight or ten lines.

MR. SUBIN: Yeah, I think a diagrams would make it -- if you see a picture of it, it might make things a little bit easier.

MR. MENDELSON: And I would have been more than willing to move it if I didn't spend the money where it's at because I had space to

256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

1 November 17, 2021 move it elsewhere forward, but now I have a 2 \$1,700 slab in that location, which then would 3 4 also cost probably five or \$600 to demolish and 5 replace the grass in that location also on top of building a new slab. 6 7 MR. CHIN: I'd like to say also one thing, that the fence covers most of the shed 8 9 except for the rooftop, kind of the slope of the 10 roof. I believe if we did move it back further, 11 but the required, we would see more of the shed 12 because the property starts to slope uphill, to 13 raise the shed up in the air to the fence, so 14 really do not have a problem giving him the 15 variance that you are requesting. And like Tom 16 says, it'll be a onetime variance and that's it. 17 MR. MENDELSON: I actually have since 18 painted it to match my house exactly so it blends 19 right in. 20 MR. CHIN: Anybody in the audience would 21

MR. CHIN: Close the public hearing

MR. FRANCO: Yeah, so I make a motion to

like to speak on this matter? No. Frank?

approve the --

22

2.3

24

	Page 13
1	November 17, 2021
2	first.
3	MR. FRANCO: Oh yeah, I make a motion to
4	close the public hearing.
5	MS. HUNTE: Second.
6	MR. CHIN: I have a second?
7	MS. HUNTE: Second.
8	MR. CHIN: All in favor?
9	MULTIPLE: Aye.
10	MR. CHIN: Motion approved.
11	MR. FRANCO: Okay. And I make a motion
12	to approve this variance for case 2021-12, for a
13	shed with a required setback of 12 proposed down
14	to three, which is a variance of nine, or 75
15	percent. We put in, in this case, that the fence
16	has to remain in order for this shed and this
17	variance to be active, and also that the shed,
18	it's for this shed only, and if the shed gets
19	demolished, etc., a new variance would need to be
20	requested. And this is a SEQR type II, no further
21	compliance is required.
22	MR. CHIN: Do I have a second?
23	MS. HUNTE: Second.
24	MR. CHIN: All approve?

	Dago 1
1	November 17, 2021
2	MULTIPLE: Aye.
3	MR. CHIN: Okay. So you're approved.
4	Chris
5	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, you'll get a copy of
6	the decision and order in the mail and then I
7	don't know if you need to close anything out with
8	Martin Rogers in the code office, but he'll also
9	get a copy of the decision and order, and then
10	speak with him.
11	MR. MENDELSON: Yeah, he had to close
12	the original shed permit out for the larger shed,
13	which he attached this to it.
14	MR. KEHOE: Okay. So you'll get the
15	document and he'll get it and then you two should
16	talk to close it all out.
17	MR. MENDELSON: Okay. Thank you.
18	MR. FRANCO: Thank you.
19	MS. HUNTE: Thank you, good night.
20	MR. CHIN: Our next case is 2021-13,
21	application of Brian Mahoney, 10 Southgate Drive.
22	And Mr. Martinez, this is your case.
23	MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah. Hi, Mr. Mahoney,
24	how are you?

	Page 1
1	November 17, 2021
2	MR. BRIAN MAHONEY: I'm sorry?
3	MR. MARTINEZ: How are you?
4	MR. MAHONEY: How are you?
5	MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you for coming.
6	MR. MAHONEY: Thanks for taking the
7	time.
8	MR. MARTINEZ: I, we did review the
9	grant that you requested and after reviewing, I
10	don't see any really major impact or change in
11	the character of the neighborhood. So, as much as
12	we like everybody to follow the code and
13	[unintelligible] [00:13:07] that the the
14	request that you asking it's [unintelligible]
15	[00:13:12] only 2.3, so I don't think we should
16	have any problem with that. So any one of my
17	colleagues want any
18	MR. SUBIN: Let him make the
19	presentation and the five factors first, but
20	yeah.
21	MR. MAHONEY: No, I like what he had to
22	say. We're good.
23	MR. SUBIN: Just to make a record, just
24	to make the record.

2.3

MR. MAHONEY: Thank you and good night.

MR. MARTINEZ: And I did review the five factors and it's within the five factors, so that's why --

MR. KEHOE: Introduce yourself.

MR. SUBIN: Introduce yourself, tell us your story and then we'll get to --

MR. MARTINEZ: Oh, yeah, if you can tell us --

MR. MAHONEY: I can only hurt my case.

I'm Brian Mahoney. This is my consultant,

Rebeccah, she's helped through the paperwork. So basically, our house is on slab, we have some storage issues, so we do use the attached garage for storage. We have a 9-year old, so bikes and whatever else is in the garage. So our cars cannot fit. So we decided that, just speaking to some of our neighbors, a two-car garage will look great right next to the house. It is detached by about four feet from a fence line that goes along the pool. And basically the variance is for a roof pitch. I've learned more about roof pitches and ratios than I ever thought I would need to

1	November	17,	2021
---	----------	-----	------

know. So the current code states that the roof pitch should be four feet for every 12 feet of length on an angle, so by increasing the fence pitch to 7/12, it more aesthetically matches our house, which is a contemporary style house.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS.}}$ REBECCAH RASHI: And as well as the neighborhood too --

MR. KEHOE: If you could just introduce yourself. I'm sorry.

MS. RASHI: I'm Rebeccah Larashi [phoenetic], so I'm the drafter.

MR. KEHOE: The mic.

MS. RASHI: I drafted up the plans for Brian and I've been working with him and the shed company, going back and forth. Because it's kind of a pre-fab shed, that was the other issue was that this is kind of their standard truss design, so even going through with having that four foot height, they'd have to actually specially make the truss to kind of go and fit on the pre-fab shed. But also if you go through the neighborhood, it's a very contemporary style neighborhood and a lot of other houses on his

2.3

block have a very steep roof pitch. So we don't think that it would be harmful to the neighborhood, as well as the fact that it's set very far back, he has a very long driveway, and I don't even think it's really going to be visible from the road at all. But it's just to kind of blend into the house better because he has such a long roof pitch on the house, it's a very contemporary style house. So, trying to actually blend it more than having a flat roof, with the size of the garage, the roof would look really flat. It would have to go down to a 4/12 pitch, so we're proposing a 7/12 pitch.

MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah, that's one other thing is we noticed all those house as we was reviewing the request you're asking for. And as I was saying before, so I don't see that it's going to be an impact of changing character, so therefore, I think that [unintelligible] [00:16:47], me personally, I don't have any problem with that.

MS. JACOBY: Yeah, I'm okay with it too.

I agree with you. It's not going to have a

November 17, 2021detrimental effect

2.3

detrimental effect on the neighborhood and if you look, it's very nice, it's not like it's something that's standing out or some wildly changing the terrain, so I'm okay with it as well.

MS. HUNTE: I concur.

MR. CHIN: Anybody else? I'd like to say that I disagree with not your pitch, but the four and 12 pitch because most pitches for any kind of structure like your accessory structure should be the same as the house, okay.

MS. RASHI: Yeah.

MR. CHIN: When you do a low pitch, it just throws it totally out of proportion. Again, that's why I agree with your -- and you have a truss system, whereas nobody can really -- according to the code when it was done originally, was because people were afraid that they're going to have a living area above the garage.

MS. RASHI: Right.

MR. CHIN: And I can't see you having a living area in that --

	Dago
1	November 17, 2021
2	MS. RASHI: Right. We absolutely can't.
3	I mean even for storage, this is going to be a
4	truss system.
5	MR. CHIN: Right.
6	MS. RASHI: So it's going to be almost
7	completely full with the truss, so.
8	MR. CHIN: You can't stand up.
9	MS. RASHI: We can't even no.
10	MR. CHIN: So again, I have no problem
11	with what you're asking.
12	MR. WALSH: Yeah, same here. I agree.
13	MR. CHIN: Anybody in the audience
14	having anything? No.
15	MS. JACOBY: Laura Lee, do you want to
16	ask a question? She's in the zone.
17	MR. MARTINEZ: I make a motion to close
18	the public hearing.
19	MS. HUNTE: Second.
20	MR. CHIN: All in favor?
21	MULTIPLE: Aye.
22	MR. MARTINEZ: And I make a motion to
23	approve the variance of, from four to 2.3, which
24	it's going to bring it to 6.3 for the attached

1	Page 21 November 17, 2021
2	garage.
3	MR. KEHOE: And just reference the SEQR
4	note on the fact sheet, that it's a type II
5	action on the first page, I think.
6	MR. MARTINEZ: SEQR type II, no further
7	compliance required.
8	MR. FRANCO: Right there.
9	MR. MARTINEZ: And no further compliance
10	will be required.
11	MR. SUBIN: On the SEQR type II.
12	MR. MARTINEZ: On the SEQR type II.
13	MR. SUBIN: This is Benito's first case,
14	so we're all rooting for him.
15	MS. JACOBY: Second.
16	MR. CHIN: All in favor?
17	MULTIPLE: Aye.
18	MR. CHIN: That's it.
19	MR. KEHOE: Okay, so you heard, you'll
20	be getting a copy of this in the mail. I'll give
21	it to Martin. I guess you're waiting for Martin -
22	- Martin's waiting for this to be able to proceed
23	with you?
24	MS. RASHI: Yes, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the zoning board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on November 17, 2021 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: December 6, 2021

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018