## TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING

Nyberg Meeting Room

Town Hall, Cortlandt, New York

August 18, 2021

7:00 p.m. - 7:33 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Adrian C. Hunte, Member

Chris Beloff, Alternate

Frank Franco, Member

Benito Martinez, Member

Cristin Jacoby, Member

Thomas Walsh, Member

1 August 18, 2021 2 (The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.) MS. ADRIAN HUNTE: Good evening, welcome 3 4 to the August 18, 2021 regularly scheduled meeting of the Town of Cortland's Zoning Board of 5 Appeals. We'll start with the pledge of 6 7 allegiance. MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the 8 9 flag of the United States of America. And to the 10 republic for which it stands, one nation under 11 God indivisible with liberty and justice for all. 12 MS. HUNTE: Before we start with the 13 roll, I'm Adrian Hunte, acting ZBA chair this 14 evening, as Chairman David Douglas and Vice Chair 15 Wai Man Chin are not available. Also, alternate 16 member Chris Beloff is now sitting as a member of the ZBA this evening. And our ZBA attorney, Josh 17 18 Subin is doing double duty this evening as 19 attorney and liaison because Chris Kehoe is not 20 available. Alright. May we call the roll, please? 21 MR. JOSH SUBIN: Member Franko? 22 MR. FRANK FRANCO: Here.

Here.

MR. SUBIN: Member Beloff?

MR. CHRIS BELOFF:

2.3

	Dage /
1	Page 4 August 18, 2021
2	MR. SUBIN: Member Martinez?
3	MR. BENITO MARTINEZ: Here.
4	MR. SUBIN: Member Hunte.
5	MS. HUNTE: Here.
6	MR. SUBIN: Member Jacoby.
7	MS. CRISTIN JACOBY: Here.
8	MR. SUBIN: Member Walsh.
9	MR. THOMAS WALSH: Here.
10	MR. SUBIN: Acting as assistant town
11	attorney and advising the ZBA and as clerk, I'm
12	here.
13	MS. HUNTE: Okay. Thank you. Alright.
14	Also, before we get to the agenda, let's have a
15	moment of silence in honor of Mr. Charles Heady,
16	who passed away on August 3rd, at the age of 95.
17	Charlie was a dedicated U.S. Navy WWII veteran
18	and a wonderful person and he served on the
19	zoning board for Cortlandt for over 40 years up
20	until at least a couple of years ago, he was
21	active on the board. So he's sorely missed, and
22	if we could just have 30 seconds.
23	Okay. Thank you. Alright. The first item

on the agenda is the adoption of the June 2021

1	Page August 18, 2021
2	ZBA meeting meetings.
3	MR. FRANCO: So moved.
4	MR. WALSH: Second.
5	MS. HUNTE: All in favor.
6	MULTIPLE: Aye.
7	MS. HUNTE: Any opposed? Alright, the
8	minutes from the June 2021 ZBA meeting are
9	adopted. This evening, we have three new items on
10	the agenda for public hearings. The case number
11	one is case 2021-8, application of Sarah
12	Lounsbury for an area variance for a front yard
13	setback for a proposed building addition located
14	at 264 Lafayette Avenue. Mr. Franco, this is your
15	case.
16	MR. FRANCO: Yes, thank you. Does the
17	Lounsburys have anything they want to present to
18	the zoning board on what they're asking for
19	before we start? Yes, you can stand right there
20	and just state your name.
21	MS. HUNTE: And you can move the
22	microphone because this is being recorded.
23	MS. SARAH LOUNSBURY: Okay. Thank you.
24	My name is Sarah Lounsbury. This is my husband

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Roger, and our architect Mary Ting. A little history, so the property we live at actually our daughter, who is almost four is the fifth generation from my husband's family to live on the property. So we actually moved in, in 2007 right after college, and have been living there ever since, and in 2014, we purchased it from family, and we've been living there since, but, you know, the family has grown. Just our lifestyle and our needs with working from home have changed. So we're just trying to add a little bit more space. We have about roughly 950 square feet now, so it's a little bit of a tight squeeze, so just hoping to get more of a livable space, so we can obviously have room for growing family, have family over, have another half bath, so we don't just have our one bedroom, so just trying to make it more functional. And we're a little bit limited with where we can build, just because we have -- obviously, our home was built a long time ago, so our side yard, as you can see on the --

MR. SUBIN: If you need me to zoom in, I

August 18, 2021

2

think I can.

2.3

24

MS. LOUNSBURY: Yeah. The side yard would be the south part of the plan here, is already pretty close to the edge of the property line, and then we have our septic field on the right to the rear. And our main living space now is where this proposed addition is built off of, so it just makes it more easy to adapt to the current circulation rather than having to change the full way out of the house. So that was kind of where we were limited to, but again, it's just a small front porch, a half bath, a little powder room and then just a bigger living space so we can have an actual functional dining room and not just squeeze into our tiny kitchen like we do now. So that's very high level, but obviously, if there are any questions, we are happy to answer.

MR. FRANCO: Yeah, we were looking at this, you know, just going through the five factors and you presented that in your write up and it doesn't, you know, we can't see the house from the road, so it's very hard to see, so I don't see it producing an undesirable effect to

the neighborhood. And as you guys were pointing out, I don't think there's any other way it could be achieved, the septic is in the back yard, and there's really not space anywhere else to put it that would be appropriate. You know, it is a substantial variance, but, you know, that's not the only factor we consider in this case. It doesn't appear that it would have an adverse effect on the neighborhood or the environmental conditions I should say. It's self created, but again if you want to expand the house, there's really no other option.

It is a flag lot, as I said, and the house was set up prior to zoning, so given that, uh, I don't have an issue with, personally, with the request. Does anybody else on the board have any questions or comments?

MS. HUNTE: No, I'm okay with it.

MR. WALSH: I'm okay with it.

MR. FRANCO: Yeah? Uh.

MS. HUNTE: Okay.

MR. MARTINEZ: Make a motion.

MS. HUNTE: Yes, I make a motion?

1	August 18, 2021
2	MR. WALSH: You can make a motion.
3	MS. HUNTE: Make a motion.
4	MR. FRANCO: Yeah, so given
5	MS. HUNTE: Make a motion to close the
6	public hearing.
7	MR. FRANCO: Yeah, yeah, okay, so I'd
8	like to make a motion for case 2021-8 to close
9	the public oh, actually should we does
10	anybody else have anything else they would like
11	to present on this case before? Alright. I'd like
12	make a motion to close the public hearing for
13	2021-8.
14	MS. JACOBY: I second it.
15	MS. HUNTE: All in favor.
16	MULTIPLE: Aye.
17	MS. HUNTE: Any opposed? The public
18	hearing is closed.
19	MR. FRANCO: I'd like to make a motion
20	for case 2021-8, for the address at 264 Lafayette
21	Avenue, for the proposed variance of 29 feet,
22	which is 58 percent over the required, this is a
23	SECR type II, no further compliance required.
0.4	Ma TRAODY A

MS. JACOBY: Second.

	Daga 1/
1	Page 10 August 18, 2021
2	MS. HUNTE: All in favor?
3	MULTIPLE: Aye.
4	MS. HUNTE: Opposed? No opposed? Okay.
5	Variance is granted.
6	MR. FRANCO: Yeah.
7	MS. HUNTE: Are there any steps that the
8	applicant needs to take with buildings department
9	or other
10	MR. SUBIN: You need to submit your
11	plans to the building department with the
12	variance. It usually takes two days, Chris is not
13	here. He should be back tomorrow. You can touch
14	base with him tomorrow and go with the next steps
15	as to submitting the plans and getting them
16	approved by the building department.
17	MS. LOUNSBURY: Okay. Thanks very much,
18	appreciate it. Thank you.
19	MS. HUNTE: Alright, second case is
20	2021-9, application of Robert Szpiczynski for
21	area variances for side yard setbacks for an
22	existing carport and screened in porch located at
23	104 Mt. Airy Road, East. Ms. Jacoby?

MS. JACOBY: This is my case. Mr.

2.3

Szpiczynski, you want to come present your case?

MR. ROBERT SZPICZYNSKI: Good evening.

MS. JACOBY: Let me give you a little bit of a history. We built this house in 2009, with a beautiful deck and outside, you know, between the trees and nice greenery. The first night, first evening my wife prepared nice dinner, we were outside and having this dinner with our kids. The poor thing was eaten by mosquitoes, she wouldn't do anything, she wouldn't say anything, she didn't want to break nice time, but the next day she was really hurting. So it was the last time she had dinner outside.

At some point, we ordered, we called some services to abate mosquitoes, but it lasted for two days and they came back. It didn't really work. And so a couple years later, my wife, with my children, she left for Poland to see her grandma and for a longer time. So I called up a contractor and asked him to build a screened porch.

And he did that in addition, at that

2.3

August 18, 2021

time, and he reminded me that I have lots of oak trees around. And he asked me what's going on with my cars. And I remembered that the last year, three years before, my car was covered in dimples because of the acorns. On top of it, because of those trees, the branches were sometimes coming down, so that was a little bit of a safety issue for my kids as well.

So, he build this screened porch and he added this carport, which is extending over the existing asphalt, the driveway. It doesn't extend anywhere else.

Last year, a friend of mine came over, and he was really, liked the idea what I'd done, and he was like so how long did it take you to set and everything and I'm like what papers and he -- you need a permit, and so I figure let me come to visit and to the town and make it right, so I'm here.

MS. JACOBY: Alright. Thank you, Mr. Szpiczynski. Okay, so I drove by. And I think you met the five factors. One is whether or not an undesirable change would produce in the character

2.3

August 18, 2021

of the neighborhood. It's not. Like, as you know, your house is very far set back, you can't see anything. Another is that the benefit can be achieved by some other method. I mean very well highlighted the importance of having a screened in porch and the covered carport on your car.

Whether or not the area variance is substantial, I think it's so much easier to get comfortable with the screened in porch.

The carport is incredibly substantial.

It's right up against the next property. Having said that, I feel like for me, and I'd be interested in hearing how the board members feel.

It's mitigated by two things. One is the fact the fact that it's a town owned property next to you and as of now, there's not a house right there.

And then secondly, the fact that, as you have highlighted, the carport is only covering the area that's already being -- already in existence of your driveway, so it's not providing any kind of additional aggrievance to the edge of your property that doesn't already exist.

The fourth factor is whether it will

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

have an adverse effect on the physical or environmental conditions, I think the answer is no, and whether it's self created, yes, I mean you created it. But that's not necessarily the be all and end all. Do other people on the board have questions or comments they wanted to make around this case?

MR. WALSH: I have just a couple of comments for myself. We have to look at this as it's not existing, so I go through the five factors myself, I have to look at it like you're proposing it right now. And what I, when I go through the five factors, you know, the screened in porch, I would be acceptable for that as an as proposed. The carport, I think it is, you know, it is very substantial, it is self created. The town does own the property, now they're talking about the town might auction the property off, and is that going to be detriment to the taxes of somebody, a potential buyer with this being a foot and a half off the property. So myself, I think it is substantial and I don't know if I can approve it as proposed.

2.3

MS. JACOBY: Other comments?

MS. HUNTE: I think we had some discussion at the work session about the driveway and that the driveway is a structure that was there.

MR. SZPICZYNSKI: Yeah the driveway was already there.

MR. SUBIN: I have not done any additional research on it since the work session. It didn't seem like there was any indication that we wanted to see about the neighboring property as to whether it was something that was, inventory that could be sold to -- if that is something you would like me to investigate, I would probably recommend that we take another month, put it over to the next meeting and then deal with it then. And I would be happy to do that in the interim.

MS. HUNTE: I think Chris Kehoe had mentioned that if the driveway was there and that it was impervious to the calculation.

MR. SUBIN: Yeah, driveways are usually, they're part of the impervious calculation,

August 18, 2021

2

3

generally speaking. So in that regard, it's a driveway.

4

5

MS. HUNTE: Yeah, I think he mentioned that the driveway was there, and the carport only

6

covered a portion of the driveway.

7

and the house is this direction, the deck is over

MR. SZPICZYNKSI: Yeah, the driveway,

8

here, and the carport is over here and the

10

driveway, when you drive in, there is big area

11

over here and there is a area over here. And from  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ 

12

this point, you can basically get to the house

13

very quickly. So when you have like shopping, or

14

whatever, you know, the groceries going from here

15

to here it's far away, but from here, it's very

16

close. On top of it, over here, I have lots of

17

trees, oak trees. They, I can't really utilize

18

the driveway, so to speak, when the trees are

19

20

having acorns.

MR. SUBIN: I just want to make

21

something clear, I can't speak towards any town

22

interest in the property while  ${\tt I'm}$  advising the

23

board. I  $\operatorname{don'} t$  want to have any conflict in that

24

regard.

	Page 17
1	Page 17 August 18, 2021
2	MS. HUNTE: No, I don't
3	MR. SUBIN: But I would be willing to
4	discuss anything with anyone, and, you know, off,
5	when we're not dealing with a ZBA matter.
6	MS. HUNTE: Alright. Thank you. Do any
7	other members have comments?
8	MR. MARTINEZ: I just had a question
9	about the property that it's adjacent to. Is that
10	residentially zoned? Is that a buildable lot?
11	MR. SUBIN: I cannot say that it's a
12	buildable lot and I don't want to get into the
13	town interests. I can say, as a matter of fact, I
14	believe it was an [unintelligible] [00:16:46]
15	property that was taken. I can't really say
16	whether it's buildable or not. That is a planning
17	issue, usually before the planning board. It's,
18	there are things that I would not talk about
19	because I have two hats on.
20	MS. HUNTE: Okay, well, we don't want
21	you
22	MR. WALSH: What is the size of that
23	lot, do you know?
24	MR. MARTINEZ: My concern is

2.3

MR. SUBIN: I can look it up right now if you'd like.

MR. WALSH: Then we could figure out if it's, I think it's a R-40, what is it, 20,000.

MR. SUBIN: If you're having, I would suggest if the board is having ambivalence in any way, shape or form, I would suggest we -- there's no harm in not -- he's not building anything right now. Putting it over a month and investigating all of this stuff really has no drawback except for your time, sir. You know, and I would be happy to talk more fully when I have my town attorney hat on, and I've consulted with the town attorney and can discuss the property that is town owned in more detailed.

MR. SZPICZYNSKI: If I can say one thing. On the town property, at the bottom of the hill, there are marshes, so this is what I heard. The town didn't sell it before because nobody would build over there or anything because of those marshes. There is no easy way of getting driveway from the street, the East Mt. Airy Road up to, up to the place where the house could

1 August 18, 2021 2 stand, the upper level of the property. This is -3 4 MR. BELOFF: But if it was sold and 5 somebody decided to put a house back there, I mean what impact does it have to the buyer of 6 7 that lot of it was sold as a buildable lot, 8 right? 9 MR. SUBIN: I can't say that it's a 10 buildable lot. It has not been approved as a 11 buildable lot. 12 MR. BELOFF: Okay. 13 MR. SUBIN: Yet. 14 MS. HUNTE: That would require --15 MR. SUBIN: That doesn't mean that it 16 couldn't hypothetically become one. But there 17 might be wetland issues. I can't speak to that at 18 this point. It would be -- I have to have two 19 hats on. And the lawyers on the board know what 20 I'm talking about. 21 MS. HUNTE: That the applicant, or 22 whoever purchases, if someone purchases, that 2.3 would require their environmental assessment and 24 review and an engineer's report to see whether

1 August 18, 2021 2 the, you know, the property is useable for residential purposes. So we can't really, I mean 3 4 it's a good hypothetical question, but we can't answer, because we don't know the environmental 5 conditions there. 6 7 MR. SUBIN: If it is on the town parcel 8 list, and if it is on inventory, I can't say 9 anything against the lot anyway, because that 10 would be problematic. 11 MS. HUNTE: Okay, well, how do we feel? 12 Do we want to put this over or are we ready to 13 vote? 14 MR. SUBIN: I could bring the lot up on 15 the GIS if you like, that I could do Would you 16 want me to do that? 17 MS. HUNTE: If you like. 18 MS. JACOBY: I guess if people that the 19 buildability of this lot is impacting their vote, 20 then that's --21 MR. WALSH: Well, my thought was just 22 having a structure that close to -- if somebody 2.3 else bought that piece of property, to have a 24 structure that close to the property line.

2.3

MR. WALSH: And not so much of the Marsh, the environmental and everything else, it's just to have that structure that close to the property line. I mean there's codes in place for that reason, not to have that to happen.

MS. JACOBY: Right. Right, and --

MS. JACOBY: I'm totally with you.

MR. SUBIN: You can see right now, this is the town lot right here. It is R-40 zone and it is a 1.029 acres, so it's not a small lot, but it probably does have wetland issues, as the applicant says. I can't speak to that. But there are --

MR. WALSH: But under R-40 zone -MR. SUBIN: -- there are a lot of
issues, lots in that area who do have this.

MR. WALSH: Under an R-40 zone, though it is large enough to build a single family home then.

MS. HUNTE: Alright. Mr. Szpiczynski, at this point, your options are that we put this over to get more information. If we vote now, and we vote to not grant the variance, then you have

1	August 18, 2021
2	some problems. I was not sure what the outcome
3	will be once we do the
4	MR. SUBIN: There are three options. He
5	can withdraw the carport.
6	MS. HUNTE: Right. You could take down
7	the carport.
8	MR. SUBIN: You can keep the carport and
9	take a vote, or you could, we can revisit in a
10	month and have a discussion in the interim and
11	try and figure out a solution.
12	MR. SZPICZYNSKI: Okay. I mean if you
13	think that the best way is to research this
14	stuff, we can wait one month.
15	MR. SUBIN: I'll be in the office
16	tomorrow and Chris'll be in the office tomorrow.
17	Why do you give us a call and we'll see what we
18	can figure out. Sound like a good plan?
19	MS. HUNTE: Okay.
20	MS. JACOBY: IS there any value to
21	voting on just the screened porch or no?
22	MR. SUBIN: I mean you're bifurcating it
23	and then you've got to come back.
24	MR. SZPICZYNSKI: I brought some

1	August 18, 2021
2	pictures of the place over there. The screened
3	the, the carport extending over
4	MS. JACOBY: Are they the ones you
5	provided Chris? Because we have some to.
6	MR. SZPICZYNSKI: Yeah, I think
7	[unintelligible] [00:21:55].
8	MS. JACOBY: I think we have pictures.
9	MR. SZPICZYNSKI: So the second picture
10	is showing the, like the extending over the
11	carport. You see that's the area where this kind
12	of
13	MS. JACOBY: So it's just over the edge
14	of the driveway?
15	MR. SZPICZYNSKI: Yes. The carport is
16	[unintelligible] [00:22:31].
17	MS. JACOBY: Right. So I guess the
18	question, I mean we can defer this, Ms. Hunte,
19	but the question is do we feel like if this was
20	built upon in the next slide over, the carport
21	would have an effect on the value in a way that
22	the driveway being right adjacent to the property
23	wouldn't already have? That's where I came I
24	mean it's tricky, but that's where I came down on

1	August 18, 2021
2	it, was I don't it's hard for me to think that
3	it would be that much more substantial of a
4	burden on the neighbors than the driveway.
5	MS. HUNTE: Any other comments? Motion,
6	put it over, or vote?
7	MR. SUBIN: I think the applicant should
8	decide.
9	MS. HUNTE: We're asking you if you
10	would want us to put this over.
11	MR. SZPICZYNSKI: Yeah, I would love to,
12	if it's possible, but if you need some more time,
13	you know.
14	MS. HUNTE: Alright. Motion to put this
15	over to the September meeting, September 15th.
16	MS. JACOBY: Do I make a motion to close
17	the public hearing first?
18	MR. SUBIN: Yeah, yeah.
19	MS. JACOBY: Okay. I make a motion
20	MS. HUNTE: Oh, no, no.
21	MR. SUBIN: You don't have to close it.
22	MS. HUNTE: We don't want to have to
23	close it. We
24	MR. SUBIN: You need to keep it open.

1	August 18, 2021
2	MS. JACOBY: Okay.
3	MR. SUBIN: We want to get more
4	MS. JACOBY: So make a motion to adjourn
5	until the September meeting for case number 2021-
6	9.
7	MR. WALSH: Second.
8	MR. BELOFF: Second.
9	MS. HUNTE: All in favor?
10	MULTIPLE: Aye.
11	MS. HUNTE: Any opposed? Alright. The
12	matter is adjourned to the September 15, 2021 ZBA
13	meeting.
14	MR. SZPICZYNSKI: Okay. So I should come
15	over here September 15, right?
16	MR. SUBIN: Yeah. But, but give us a
17	call in the office tomorrow.
18	MR. SZPICZYNSKI: And who should I speak
19	to?
20	MR. SUBIN: Give me a call. I don't have
21	my I will write on this. Give me until the
22	afternoon because I want to talk to the tax
23	assessor before I have a conversation with you.
24	MS. JACOBY: Thank you.

2.3

MS. HUNTE: Thank you.

MR. SZPICZYNSKI: Thank you, bye.

MS. HUNTE: Alright. Next matter is case number 2021-10, application of Andrea Colucci for an area variance for accessory building coverage exceeding 50 percent of the principal building for a proposed pool located at 169 Watch Hill Road. And this is my case. Good evening.

MS. ANDREA COLUCCI: Good evening.

MS. HUNTE: Coluccis, please make your presentation as to why we're here, what you'd like to do.

MS. COLUCCI: Thank you. I appreciate it. My partner and I moved into our home at 169 Watch Hill this past summer, 2020. Lived in the city for the last 16 years, and we're looking to start a family, which was successful, luckily and wanted to have some more space and I grew up in the county and kind of wanted to have that kind of space around me again. We were drawn to this home because of the way that it is on the land. It's very secluded. You're not able to see, only the very back of the house from the road because

2.3

it's actually up a hill and it is surrounded by trees, which makes it very, very private. It's in fact, when you stand in the back yard and do a complete 360, you can't see another neighbor, which is one of the reasons why we purchased it.

The other reason that we decided on this home was because of its character. After looking at a million cookie cutter homes, this home, which was built in 1777 really appealed to us. It has a lot of really antique old kind of character to it and great energy. But with the home came also a very large barn that is in the back yard, which used to be a blacksmith's barn that was built in the same year, in 1777, which is actually an amazing thing to have on our property. We love it. But it's causing problems with us wanting to put in this pool. Because of that structure, we would be over the 50 percent, as you mentioned.

Both the house and the barn were there before there were any zoning laws in the Town of Cortlandt, and you know, the pool that we want to

put in, we chose the location that we did for it

2.3

and the property because of, number one, it's up the hill, so it would have really a good location for drainage. We hired an engineer to design the pool and where it would go and the drainage system to make sure that it was put in properly and kept with the integrity of the property.

Because of where we want to put it in the yard, we really want to keep that privacy, and in fact, if you are there at that spot and pretty much almost anywhere in our yard, there is no neighbor who can see into our back yard.

The nature of the location of the neighboring houses, the trees and the fact that the lot backs up against a mountain all make that so that the neighbors aren't able to see the pool. The only neighbor that is quite close to us are our neighbors are Tracy and Tony Shea, who are our next door neighbors at 171 and we've made quite close friends with them in a sort period of time. They actually called the town on our behalf, which was very kind of them. And they have -- we spoke to them before we even thought about the pool, about what they thought about.

1 August 18, 2021 2 Although they can't see it from their house unless maybe if they're on the very top corner 3 4 floor, they were excited, just invite us over and 5 we're good to go. So, you know, we just wanted to seek approval for this zoning variance from the 6 7 board. Is there anything that I left out? MR. COLUCCI: No, I think we've covered 8 9 all five of the points. 10 MS. COLUCCI: Okay, yeah. 11 MS. HUNTE: Okay. Thank you. 12 MS. COLUCCI: And any questions that you 13 guys have for us, please. 14 MS. HUNTE: I just want to make a note 15 concerning the appeals fact sheet that says 16 required 1,083 square feet, I think that should 17 be 1,093. And the variance should be 880 square 18 feet instead of 890. MR. COLUCCI: I would trust your math 19 20 before I would trust mine. 21 MS. HUNTE: Okay. Alright. The property 22 yes, it's guite secluded and there's no

encroachment on the neighbors. And in terms of

the five factors, I don't see any adverse impact

2.3

2.3

August 18, 2021

to the area or to the neighbors, or an undesirable change and no detriment to the neighbors. In terms of alternative ways to achieve this, you mentioned that the property slopes up in the back, so you really don't have too many alternatives.

The variance, although it's substantial, it's because of basically one structure, the barn. And even though it's almost 80 percent, whatever, but in terms of actual impact on the land and area, it is not that large. And I don't see any adverse impact on the environment, environmental conditions in the neighborhood. In terms of self created difficulty, obviously, this is your project, so it would be self created, but in and of itself, that is not enough or a reason to deny without other factors. Anyone else have comments?

MR. WALSH: No.

MS. JACOBY: I agree. It's not one of the five factors I considered, but it would be nice to give a cute baby a pool. [laughter]

MS. HUNTE: Okay.

August 18,	, 2021
------------	--------

2.3

MS. COLUCCI: I apologize. We didn't have a babysitter this evening, so.

MS. HUNTE: Alright.

MS. JACOBY: We get it.

MS. HUNTE: So, on case number 2021-10, application of Andrea Colucci for an area variance for accessory building coverage exceeding 50 percent of the principal building for a proposed pool located at 169 Watch Hill Road for a required, I guess 1,093 square feet would be 50 percent, proposed is 1,973 square feet of accessory structures for a variance of 880 square feet, I make a motion that we close the public hearing. Anyone?

MR. BELOFF: Second.

MS. HUNTE: All in favor?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

MS. HUNTE: Any opposed? Okay, public hearing is closed. On case number 2021- what is this again, here we go, 10, excuse me, I make a motion for a variance from the required 1,093 square feet of accessory structure up to a proposed 1,973 square feet, for a variance of 880

1	August 18, 2021
2	square feet, I make a motion that we approve the
3	variance.
4	MS. JACOBY: Second. Second.
5	MR. WALSH: Second.
6	MS. HUNTE: All in favor?
7	MULTIPLE: Aye.
8	MS. HUNTE: Any opposed? Alright, the
9	motion for variance is granted. This is a SECR
10	type II action, no further compliance required.
11	Any other steps for the applicants with the
12	building department?
13	MR. SUBIN: Again, Chris is usually the
14	person here. He usually gets the executed
15	agreement. There will be an extra step involved
16	in that process, but call us tomorrow, we'll get
17	your permits over into the building department.
18	MS. COLUCCI: Thank you very much,
19	ladies and gentlemen. I appreciate it.
20	MS. JACOBY: Thank you, have a nice
21	night.
22	MR. FRANCO: Enjoy.
23	MS. HUNTE: Alright, so hearing no old
24	business, our next regular meeting is scheduled

1	August 18, 2021
2	for Wednesday, September 15, 2021. Do we have a
3	motion to adjourn the meeting?
4	MR. FRANCO: So moved.
5	MS. JACOBY: Second.
6	MS. HUNTE: All in favor?
7	MULTIPLE: Aye.
8	MS. HUNTE: Any opposed? Meeting is
9	adjourned.
10	(The public board meeting concluded at
11	7:33 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the zoning board of appeals meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on August 18, 2021 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: September 7, 2021

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018